

## Prediction for the ground state energy of ${}_{\Lambda\Lambda}^{14}\text{C}$ in the cluster model

Sonika and Mohammad Shoeb\*

Department of Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202002, INDIA

### Introduction

In the past, Shoeb and co-workers [1, 2], in the variational Monte Carlo (VMC) framework, have successfully explained the energies of the ground and excited states of  ${}^9_{\Lambda}\text{Be}$  and  ${}^{10}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{Be}$  in the  $\alpha$  cluster model. The success is attributed to the proposed phenomenological dispersive [1] three-body  $\Lambda\alpha\alpha$  cluster force inspired from the microscopic analysis of Bodmer *et al.* [3]. Recently, we have analyzed the ground state energies of  ${}^{12}\text{C}$  and  ${}^{13}\text{C}$  in the  $\alpha\alpha\alpha$  and  $\Lambda\alpha\alpha\alpha$  models, respectively, alongwith the other  $\alpha$  cluster strange and multistrange hypernuclei [4]. The phenomenological dispersive [1] three-body  $\Lambda\alpha\alpha$  and  $\alpha\alpha\alpha$  forces along with the two-body  $\alpha\alpha$  and  $\Lambda\alpha$  potentials explained the ground state energies. The VMC calculation of the energy [5] of the excited  $2^+$  state of  ${}^{12}\text{C}$  in the  $\alpha$  cluster model is found to be consistent with the observed value. Looking at the success of VMC calculation in explaining the ground and excited state properties of  ${}^{12}\text{C}$  and ground state of  ${}^{13}_{\Lambda}\text{C}$ , we have extended it to predict the energy of  ${}^{14}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{C}$  in view of the ongoing programme to produce  $S = -2$  hypernuclei at J-PARC.

### Hamiltonian, wavefunction and energy calculation

The Hamiltonian for the five-body system

${}^{14}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{C}$  in the  $\Lambda\Lambda\alpha\alpha\alpha$  model takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Lambda\Lambda} = & \sum_{i=1}^2 K_{\Lambda}(i) + \sum_{i=3}^5 K_{\alpha}(i) + V_{\Lambda\Lambda}(r_{12}) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=3}^5 V_{\Lambda\alpha}(r_{ij}) \\ & + \sum_{i<j, i=3,4, j=4,5} V_{\alpha\alpha}(r_{ij}) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j<k, j=3}^5 V_{\Lambda\alpha\alpha}(r_{ij}, r_{ik}) \\ & + V_{\alpha\alpha\alpha}(r_{34}, r_{35}, r_{45}), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where indices (1,2), (3,4,5) label  $\Lambda$ s, and  $\alpha$  particles, respectively,  $K_Y$  is the kinetic energy operator for the particle  $Y(=\Lambda, \alpha)$ ,  $V_{hh}$  denotes the potential for the pair of particles  $hh$  ( $=\Lambda\Lambda, \Lambda\alpha, \alpha\alpha$ ),  $r_{kl}$  is the inter-particle separation for the pair having indices  $k$  and  $l$ . Here we have considered that all the  $hh$  pairs in a given system interact in the relative angular momentum  $s$ -state and  $V_{\Lambda\alpha\alpha}$  is the phenomenological dispersive three-body  $\Lambda\alpha\alpha$  potential and has a simple form

$$V_{\Lambda\alpha\alpha} = W_0 f(r_{\Lambda\alpha_1}) f(r_{\Lambda\alpha_2}), \quad (2)$$

where the strength  $W_0 > 0$  gives repulsion. The radial factor  $f(r)$  is of Yukawa form:  $f(r) = \exp(-ar)/ar$ , where  $a$  is the range parameter. The  $V_{\Lambda\alpha\alpha}$  ( $W_0 = 17.0$  MeV and  $a = 0.5$  fm $^{-1}$  for the case of two-body  $\Lambda\alpha$  Isle potential) is constrained to fit the  $B_{\Lambda}$ ,  $\Lambda$ -binding energy [1] of the  ${}^9_{\Lambda}\text{Be}$  in the three-body  $\Lambda\alpha\alpha$  model. The inclusion of the dispersive  $\Lambda\alpha\alpha$  force [1, 2] gives a good account of the ground and excited states of  ${}^9_{\Lambda}\text{Be}$  and  ${}^{10}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{Be}$ , as stated in the preceding section. The expressions for  $V_{\alpha\alpha\alpha}$ , three-body  $\alpha\alpha\alpha$  Gaussian shape potential and for the two-body  $V_{\alpha\alpha}$  potential in the

---

\*Electronic address: mshoeb202002@gmail.com

relative angular momentum  $s$ -state have been given in our other paper [5].

The phenomenological  $s$ -state  $\Lambda\alpha$  potential of Isle form, the  $\Lambda\Lambda$  potential in the singlet state of the three-range Gaussian form adjusted to fit the ground state binding energy (7.26 MeV) of  ${}^6_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{He}$  and  $\alpha\alpha$  potential of Ali and Bodmer [6] are taken from our earlier work [1, 2].

The trial wavefunction for the system  ${}^{14}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{C}$  is the product of two-body correlation functions  $f_{hh}$  for the pair of particles,  $hh$  and the appropriate spin function  $\zeta_0^0$  and has the form:

$$\Psi_{\Lambda\Lambda} = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^2 \prod_{j=3}^5 f_{\Lambda\alpha}(r_{ij}) \right] f_{\Lambda\Lambda}(r_{12}) \times \left[ \prod_{i < j, i=3,4, j=4,5} f_{\alpha\alpha}(r_{ij}) \right] \zeta_0^0. \quad (3)$$

The correlation functions  $f_{hh}(r)$  have the asymptotic behavior  $f_{hh}(r) \sim r^{-\nu_{hh}} \exp(-\kappa_{hh}r)$  and are obtained from the solution of the Schrödinger-type equation.

The total energy  $E_B$  for the system  ${}^{14}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{C}$  in the cluster model for the trial wavefunction Eq. (3) is evaluated using the following relation:

$$E_B({}^{14}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{C}) = \frac{\langle \Psi_{\Lambda\Lambda} | H_{\Lambda\Lambda} | \Psi_{\Lambda\Lambda} \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{\Lambda\Lambda} | \Psi_{\Lambda\Lambda} \rangle}. \quad (4)$$

The VMC estimates of the energy were made for 100 000 points. A distance of 20 fm was chosen for the integration and the energy was optimized with respect to variational parameters using standard code.

## Results and Discussion

The ground state energy of  ${}^{12}\text{C}$  which we have calculated earlier [4] in the VMC framework in the  $\alpha$  cluster model is 7.17 MeV, quite consistent with the experimental value 7.26

MeV. The results of variational calculation for  ${}^{14}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{C}$  are as under;

Energy  $-E_B = 31.29 \pm 0.0002$  MeV with break up: total kinetic energy = 30.58 MeV, total potential energy = -61.74 MeV, three-body dispersive energy  $\langle V_{\Lambda\alpha\alpha} \rangle = 8.72$  MeV, three-body cluster energy  $\langle V_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} \rangle = -8.85$  MeV. The root mean square radius between  $\alpha\alpha$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\alpha} = 3.48$  fm; between  $\Lambda\Lambda$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_{\Lambda\Lambda} = 3.22$  fm; between c.m. of 3-alpha and  $\Lambda$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_{(3\alpha)\Lambda} = 2.36$  fm; between  $\Lambda$  and  $\alpha$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_{\Lambda\alpha} = 3.09$  fm; between c.m. of 3-alpha and  $\alpha$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_{(3\alpha)\alpha} = 2.01$  fm; between c.m. of 3-alpha and  $\Lambda\Lambda$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_{(3\alpha)(\Lambda\Lambda)} = 1.72$  fm.

The predicted  $B_{\Lambda\Lambda}$  ( $= E_B({}^{12}\text{C}) - E_B({}^{14}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{C})$ ) for  ${}^{14}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{C}$  turns out to be 24.12 MeV.

## Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Chairman, Department of Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, for providing necessary facilities for carrying out the work presented in this manuscript. Sonika is also thankful to UGC for financial support.

## References

- [1] Mohammad Shoeb, Alemiye Mamo and Amanuel Fessahatsion, *Pramana* **68**, 943 (2007) and references therein.
- [2] Mohammad Shoeb, *Phys. Rev. C* **74**, 064316 (2006).
- [3] A. R. Bodmer, Q. N. Usmani and J. Carlson, *Phys. Rev. C* **29**, 684 (1984).
- [4] Mohammad Shoeb and Sonika, *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* **36**, 045104 (2009).
- [5] Mohammad Shoeb and Sonika, this symposium.
- [6] S. Ali and A. R. Bodmer, *Nucl. Phys.* **80**, 99 (1966); D. V. Fedorov and A. S. Jensen *Phys. Lett. B* **389**, 631 (1996).