

## Cluster decay of heavy nuclei

Basudeb Sahu\* and Ramakrushna Paira

Department of Physics, North Orissa University, Baripada-757003, INDIA

### Introduction

We study the process of cluster radioactivity where from one parent nucleus ( ${}^AZ$ ), one obtains an emitted particle  ${}^{A_e}Z_e$  and a daughter nucleus  ${}^{A_d}Z_d$ :



and calculate the decay half-life  $T_{1/2}$  of the cluster element decay.

An approach we have proposed recently [1] for calculation of decay half-life  $T_{1/2}$  on the  $\alpha$  decay of radioactive heavy ions is applied to the evaluation of the important  $T_{1/2}$  values for the cluster decay.

The potential which simulates the total effective potential of a typical element+daughter system is expressed analytically as a function of radial distance  $r$  as follows.

$$V(r) = \begin{cases} V_0[\xi_1 - (\xi_1 - \xi_2)\rho_1(r)], & \text{if } r \leq R_0 \\ V_0\xi_2\rho_2(r), & \text{if } r > R_0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\rho_n(r) = [\cosh^2 \frac{R_0 - r}{d_n}]^{-1}$ ,  $n=1, 2$  and  $V_0 > 0$ .

This potential can be solved for s-wave exactly, both when the potential is real and when the potential has absorptive imaginary part. The potential has six parameters  $V_0$ ,  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$ ,  $R_0$ ,  $d_1$ , and  $d_2$  and has been termed as pocket-barrier (PB) potential. It is obvious that different parent nuclei decaying through cluster decay mode would experience different interaction potentials for their corresponding cluster+daughter systems depending on the values of mass number  $A$  and atomic number  $Z$  of the parent nucleus. The variation in the potential is achieved by changing the value of one of the above parameters namely  $d_1$  while the values of remaining four parameters are

fixed at  $V_0=1$  MeV,  $\xi_1=0$ ,  $\xi_2=V_{B0}$ ,  $d_2=1$  and  $r_0=1.035$  fm where  $R_0 = r_0(A_e^{1/3} + A_d^{1/3})$ . The result of the Coulomb barrier height ( $V_{B0}$ ) for heavy-ion system can be obtained through the parametrized formulas developed recently in [2] by using the double folding model.

A crucial quantity for the accuracy of half-life calculation is the released energy  $Q = [M - (M_e + M_d)]c^2$ , obtained as difference between the parent  $M$  and the two decay product masses,  $M_e$  and  $M_d$ , in units of energy;  $c$  is the velocity of light. The  $Q$  values for different systems are obtained by using the masses of the nuclei given in recent mass tables (see Ref. [53] of [3]).

In our calculation, the  $Q$ -value of the system is recognised as the resonance energy of the quasi-bound state generated by the effective potential (1) by virtue of a pocket in the interior region  $r < R_0$ . Using a very small imaginary potential in the interior region  $r < R_0$  as done in [4], the reaction cross section  $\sigma_R = \frac{\pi}{k^2}(1 - |S(k)|^2)$  where  $S(k)$  and  $k$  denote the  $S$ -matrix and the wave number, respectively, is calculated as function of energy  $E$ . In the variation of  $\sigma_R$  with  $E$ , the position of the peak gives the resonance energy which is equal to the  $Q$ -value. For a given value of  $Q$  equated to the resonance energy, the potential parameter  $d_1$  is varied and the peak in the variation of  $\sigma_R$  is obtained to find the value of  $d_1$  from the position of the peak. With this, the potential for the decaying system is fully specified. In this situation, the exact wave function is used to calculate the decay life-time through our wave function method described in [1].

In Table I, we record the results of our present calculation denoted as  $T_{1/2}^{(prent)}$  along the experimental values of  $T_{1/2}^{(expt)}$  and  $Q$ -values given in [3] in the cases of nuclei with  $Z=90-96$ . The comparison of the calculated results of  $T_{1/2}$  with the corresponding exper-

\*Electronic address: bd\_sahu@yahoo.com

imental data reveals excellent explanation of the measured data. The fitting of  $T_{1/2}$  of other nuclei available in the literature by our present formulation is in progress. It will be reported soon.

### Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Board of Research in Nuclear Sciences (BRNS), Department of Atomic Energy (DAE), Mumbai, India, via Research Grant No. 2011/37P/28/BRNS/1781

### References

- [1] Basudeb Sahu, *Phys. Rev. C* **78** 044608 (2008).
- [2] W. W. Qu, G. L. Zhang and X. Y. Le, *Nucl. Phys. A* **868** 1 (2011).
- [3] Yibin Qian and Zhongzhou Ren, *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* **39** 015103 (2012).
- [4] B. Sahu, L. Satpathy and C. S. Shastry, *Phys. Lett. A* **303** 105 (2002).

TABLE I: Results of released energy Q and half-life  $T_{1/2}$  from experiment  $\log_{10}T_{1/2}^{(expt)}$  [3] and calculation  $\log_{10}T_{1/2}^{(present)}$  of cluster-decay of heavy nuclei obtained using present formulation where values of potential parameters  $V_0=1$  MeV,  $\xi_1=0$ ,  $d_2=1$  and  $r_0=1.035$  fm are kept same for all nuclei. In columns 5 and 6, values of parameter  $d_1$  and Coulomb barrier height  $V_{B0}$  are given, respectively.

Transition	Q (MeV)	$\log_{10}T_{1/2}^{expt}$ (sec)	$\log_{10}T_{1/2}^{present}$ (sec)	$d_1$ (fm)	$V_{B0}$ (MeV)
$^{231}Pa \rightarrow$ $^{207}Ti + ^{24}Ne$	60.41	22.89	23.05	1.1809	89.667
$^{230}U \rightarrow$ $^{208}Pb + ^{22}Ne$	61.39	19.57	22.25	1.2646	91.196
$^{232}U \rightarrow$ $^{208}Pb + ^{24}Ne$	62.31	20.39	21.33	1.3246	90.709
$^{233}U \rightarrow$ $^{209}Pb + ^{24}Ne$	60.49	24.84	25.68	1.2005	90.644
$^{233}U \rightarrow$ $^{208}Pb + ^{25}Ne$	60.73	24.84	25.68	1.0122	90.476
$^{234}U \rightarrow$ $^{210}Pb + ^{24}Ne$	58.83	25.93	26.42	1.0880	90.580
$^{234}U \rightarrow$ $^{206}Hg + ^{28}Mg$	74.11	25.53	25.23	1.2525	105.30
$^{236}Pu \rightarrow$ $^{208}Pb + ^{28}Mg$	79.66	21.52	21.38	1.2816	107.78
$^{238}Pu \rightarrow$ $^{210}Pb + ^{28}Mg$	75.91	25.57	25.60	1.0696	107.62
$^{238}Pu \rightarrow$ $^{206}Hg + ^{32}Si$	91.19	25.28	24.22	1.2171	121.73
$^{242}Cm \rightarrow$ $^{208}Pb + ^{34}Si$	96.51	23.15	21.65	1.2407	124.07