

## A variational approach to study a single $\lambda$ -hypernucleus as a three-body system: example ${}^9_\lambda\text{Be}$ hypernucleus

S. Mahapatra\*

*Mallikpur A. S. Institution, Kolkata 700145, INDIA*

### Introduction

In our earlier investigations [1], the dynamics of an asymmetric three-body system consisting of one heavy and two identical light particles has been treated in a simple coordinate space variational approach. The method has been successfully applied to study the structural properties of some Borromean two neutron halo nuclei and some even-even double  $\lambda$ -hypernuclei.

We now investigate the  ${}^9_\lambda\text{Be}$  hypernucleus as a three-body model consisting of two  $\alpha$ -particles (heavy particle) and a  $\lambda$ -particle (light particle). Present preliminary results indicate that the method appears to work in the new configuration also. Structural quantities like the binding energy  $B_\lambda$ , the r.m.s values of  $\alpha - \lambda$  separation  $\langle r_{\alpha-\lambda} \rangle$  and the  $\alpha - \alpha$  separation  $\langle r_{\alpha-\alpha} \rangle$  have been determined theoretically.

### Theory

To simplify the model we assume that the hypernucleus  ${}^9_\lambda\text{Be}$  is treated as a three-body system consisting of a  $\lambda$ -particle and two  $\alpha$ -particles. The particles are labeled as 1( $\lambda$ ), 2,3( $\alpha$ s). The mass of the  $\lambda$ -particle is  $M_1$  and the mass of an  $\alpha$  particle is  $M_2$ .  $r_1, r_2$  and  $r_3$  are the distances between the particle pairs 1-2, 1-3 and 2-3. We first remove the centre of mass motion from the Hamiltonian. Then the variational principle for the Schrödinger equation for the internal motion of the three-body system is given by

$$\partial \langle \Psi | H - E | \Psi \rangle = 0 \quad (1)$$

where  $H, E$  and  $\Psi$  refer to the Hamiltonian, energy and the wave function, respectively, for the internal motion.

The wave function for the internal motion of the three-body system is considered as

$$\Psi = \Phi(R) \quad (2)$$

where  $R$  is a new space co-ordinate defined by

$$R(\eta) = (r_1 + r_2 + \eta r_3)/2 \quad (3)$$

where  $\eta$  is a scaling parameter and it controls the way the wave function depends on  $r_1, r_2$  and  $r_3$ .

In addition to  $R$  we define two new co-ordinate variables  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  given by

$$R_2 = r_2 \quad \text{and} \quad R_3 = (1 + \eta)r_3/2 \quad (4)$$

After  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  integrations have been performed in Eq.(1), we finally obtain an eigenvalue equation which is,

$$\frac{d^2 F}{dR^2} + \left[ \frac{4(\eta^2 + 5\eta + 8)}{D'} E - \frac{V_{eff}(R)}{D} - \frac{15}{4R^2} \right] F = 0 \quad (5)$$

where  $F(R) = R^{5/2}\Phi(R)$ ,  $D$  and  $D'$  are functions of  $\eta$  and the masses of the  $\lambda$  and the  $\alpha$  particles. Thus the starting three body problem reduces finally to an effective two-body equation.  $V_{eff}(R)$  is a long range attractive potential which emerges through the  $R_2, R_3$  integration of the actual potentials  $U(r_1), U(r_2)$  and  $V(r_3)$ . Hence

$$V_{eff}(R) = \frac{1}{R^5} \int_0^R dR_3 \int_{R-R_3}^{R-\beta R_3} dR_2 R_2 R_3 \{ (6) \\ \times (2R - R_2 - \nu R_3) [U(r_1) + U(r_2) + V(r_3)] \}$$

where  $\beta = (\eta - 1)/(\eta + 1)$  and  $\nu = 2\eta/(\eta + 1)$ . Details of the theory are discussed in [1].

### Choice of the $\alpha - \lambda$ and $\alpha - \alpha$ potentials

The  $\alpha - \lambda$  potentials used are [2, 3]

---

\*Electronic address: mahapatra.s2005@rediffmail.com

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{\alpha\lambda}^{(1)} &= -43.976 \exp[-(r/1.566)^2], \\
 V_{\alpha\lambda}^{(2)} &= -60.17 \exp[-(r/1.273)^2] \quad (7)
 \end{aligned}$$

and  $\alpha-\alpha$  (Ali-Bodmer) potentials [4] used are

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{\alpha\alpha}^{(1)} &= -110 \exp[-(0.65r)^2] - 42 \exp[-(0.35r)^2] \\
 V_{\alpha\alpha}^{(2)} &= -282 \exp[-(0.55r)^2] - 178 \exp[-(0.42r)^2]
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_c = \frac{4e^2}{r} \text{erf}(\frac{\sqrt{3}r}{2R_\alpha})$ . The Coulomb interaction between the two  $\alpha$ -particles  $V_c$  takes into account the finite size of the  $\alpha$ -particles assuming these to have a Gaussian density distribution for the proton centre with an r.m.s radius  $R_\alpha = 1.44$  fm.

Denoting by  $\bar{R}^2, \bar{r}_3^2, \bar{r}_1^2$  as the mean square distances of  $R^2, r_3^2$  and  $r_1^2$  respectively, these are calculated as follows using the numerical wave function  $F(R)$  obtained from the solution of Eq.(5) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{R}^2 &= \frac{\int_0^\infty dR R^2 F^2(R)}{\int_0^\infty dR F^2(R)} \\
 \bar{r}_3^2 &= \left[ \frac{8(\eta^2 + 7\eta + 16)}{7(1 + \eta)^2(\eta^2 + 5\eta + 8)} \right] \bar{R}^2 \\
 \bar{r}_1^2 &= \left[ \frac{2\eta^4 + 14\eta^3 + 42\eta^2 + 70\eta + 64}{7(1 + \eta)^2(\eta^2 + 5\eta + 8)} \right] \bar{R}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Numerical Results**

TABLE I: Binding energy ( $B_\lambda = -E_{min}$ )

$\alpha-\alpha$ potential	$\alpha-\lambda$ potential	$\eta$	$B_\lambda$ MeV	$\langle r_{\alpha-\lambda} \rangle$ fm	$\langle r_{\alpha-\alpha} \rangle$ fm
$V_{\alpha\alpha}^{(1)}$	$V_{\alpha\lambda}^{(1)}$	0.3	6.52	2.78	3.55
	$V_{\alpha\lambda}^{(2)}$	0.25	5.39	2.71	3.53
$V_{\alpha\alpha}^{(2)}$	$V_{\alpha\lambda}^{(1)}$	0.25	6.48	2.94	3.82
	$V_{\alpha\lambda}^{(2)}$	0.2	5.16	2.92	3.86

**Conclusion**

The experimental value of  $B_\lambda(^9_\lambda Be)$  is  $6.71 \pm 0.04$  MeV [5]. Our theoretical results using  $+V_c, V_\alpha^{(1)}$  are 6.52 MeV for  $V_{\alpha\alpha}^{(1)}$  and 6.48 MeV for  $+V_c, V_\alpha^{(2)}$ . With  $V_\alpha^{(2)}$  the theoretical results are lower. These are 5.39 MeV for  $V_{\alpha\alpha}^{(1)}$  and 5.16 MeV for  $V_{\alpha\alpha}^{(2)}$ . However, in a general way it is observed that the mathematically simple variational ansatz used here to study  $^9_\lambda Be$  as a three-body model (two heavy and one light particle viz.  $\alpha, \alpha, \lambda$ ) appears to be quite successful. In contrast to our earlier studies involving one heavy and two light particles, our experience is that here the dependence of energy on  $\eta$  is less sharp.

**References**

[1] S. Mahapatra, J. Nag, D. P. Sural and S. N. Mukherjee, *Pramana J. Phys.* **57**, 717 (2001).  
 [2] K. Ikeda, H. Bando and T. Motoba, *Prog.Theor.Phys.Suppl.* **81**, 147 (1985).  
 [3] Y. C. Tang and R. C. Herndon, *Nouvo Cimento* **B46**, 117 (1966).  
 [4] S. Ali and A. R. Bodmer, *Nucl.Phys.* **80**, 99 (1966).  
 [5] D. H. Davis in LAMPF workshop on (II,K) Physics edited by B. F. Gibson et al., A I P Conference p38-48 (1991).