

Lifetime measurements in ^{101}Pd

S. Sihotra^{1,*}, V. Singh¹, S. Kumar¹, J. Goswamy¹, N. Singh¹, S. Saha², J. Sethi², T. Trivedi², R. Palit², H. C. Jain², and D. Mehta¹

¹Department of Physics, Panjab University, Chandigarh-160014 and

²Department of Nuclear and Atomic Physics, TIFR, Mumbai-400005

Introduction

The nuclei approaching the neutron and proton major shell closures at $N=Z=50$ provide a unique opportunity to study interplay between the single-particle and collective degrees of freedom, and influence of the valence orbitals on deformation. The proton particle-hole excitations across the major shell gap are energetically possible due to the strong proton-pair correlations and proton-neutron interaction between the spin-orbit partner orbitals [1]. For the nuclei approaching $Z = 50$ from below, the proton Fermi surface lies near the oblate-driving high- Ω orbitals of the intruder $\pi g_{9/2}$ subshell. Strongly prolate-driving low- Ω $\nu h_{11/2}$ subshell orbitals are accessible at low excitation energies for the nuclei receding the $N = 50$ shell closure. The delicate interplay of strongly shape-driving $\pi g_{9/2}$ and $\nu h_{11/2}$ orbitals can influence the overall shape of the nucleus, and result in γ -soft (triaxial) shapes with modest deformation ($\epsilon_2 \approx 0.15$) [2]. The relevant intriguing triaxiality based phenomena such as magnetic rotation [3] and degenerate twin bands have been reported in this mass region [4]. One of the novel feature which has been observed in this region is antimagnetic rotation (AMR) bands around doubly magic nuclei [5]. In AMR, the E2 crossover transitions are weak and is similar to normal rotational band. In AMR bands lifetime measurements show a decrease in $B(E2)$ values with increasing spin. For the nuclei in this mass-region, the negative-parity $h_{11/2}$ intruder and the normal parity $d_{5/2}$ neutron/proton orbitals with $\Delta l = 3$, $\Delta j = 3$,

and $\Delta\pi = -1$, are near the Fermi surface. Interaction between such orbitals is expected to result in octupole correlations.

Experimental details

Excited states in the ^{101}Pd nucleus were populated in the $^{75}\text{As}(^{31}\text{P}, 2p3n)^{101}\text{Pd}$ fusion-evaporation reaction at $E_{lab} = 125$ MeV. The de-excitations were investigated through in-beam gamma-ray spectroscopic techniques. The ^{31}P beam was provided by the Pelletron-LINAC facility at TIFR, Mumbai. The ^{75}As target of thickness 2.8 mg/cm² was prepared by vacuum evaporation and rolled onto a 10 mg/cm² thick Pb backing. The recoiling nuclei in the excited states were stopped within the target and the de-exciting gamma-rays were detected using the Indian National Gamma Array (INGA) consisting of 21 Compton suppressed clover detectors. Two and higher fold clover coincidence events were recorded in a fast digital data acquisition system based on Pixie-16 modules of XIA LLC [6]. The data sorting routine “Multi pARAmeter time stamped based COincidence Search program (MARCOS)”, developed at TIFR, sorts the time stamped data to generate E_γ - E_γ matrices and E_γ - E_γ - E_γ cubes compatible with Radware format. These data were used to develop the level scheme. For the application of DSAM [7], lineshapes were obtained from the background subtracted spectra projected from the two matrices consisting of events in the 40° or 140° detectors along one axis and all other detectors along the second axis, respectively.

Lineshape Analysis

Lifetimes of the states of negative parity band of ^{101}Pd [8] were measured by DSAM. LINESHAPE [7] program was used for

*Electronic address: ssihotra@pu.ac.in




FIG. 1: The obtained lineshape for the transition of energy 971 keV and 1074 keV in the negative parity band of ^{101}Pd [8] at 40° , 90° , and 140° angles.

analysing lineshapes of transitions. The gating transitions, chosen for analysis, were below the transitions of interest. The program takes into account the energy loss of the beam through the target and the energy loss and angular straggling of recoils through the target and the backing. Shell-corrected Northcliffe and Schilling stopping powers were used for energy loss calculations. The value of time step and the number of recoil histories were 0.01ps and 5000, respectively. In the fitting procedure, the program obtains a χ^2 minimization of the fit for transition quadrupole moments (Q_t) for the transition of interest, transition quadrupole moments of the modeled side-feeding cascade Q_t (SF), the intensity of contaminant peaks in the region of interest, and the normalizing factor to normalize the intensity of the fitted transition. The best fit was obtained through the least-square-minimization procedures SEEK, SIMPLEX, and MIGRAD [7].

The forward and backward Doppler-shifted

lineshapes were observed for the 971- and 1074- keV transitions above the $I = 27/2^-$ state and shown in Fig. 1. The lineshapes of these transitions were obtained by putting gate on the 911 keV transition. The side feeding into each level of the band was considered as a cascade of five transitions having a fixed moment of inertia comparable to that of the in-band sequences. The energies of γ -rays and side-feeding intensities have been used as input parameters for the line-shape analysis. The χ^2 minimization has been obtained using subroutine MINUIT [9]. The extraction of lifetime of other states from the analysis is under progress and will be presented.

Acknowledgments

Authors acknowledge the joint effort of IUAC, New Delhi, TIFR, Mumbai, and IUC-DAEF and SINP, Kolkata, in establishing the INGA clover array. Thanks to the pelletron staff for smooth functioning of the accelerator. Financial support from IUAC, New Delhi, under the Centre of Advanced Study Funds, and UGC, New Delhi, is duly acknowledged.

References

- [1] V. P. Janzen, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1065 (1993).
- [2] W. Reviol, et al., Nucl. Phys. A **557**, 391C (1993).
- [3] D. G. Jenkins, et al., Phys. Lett. B **428**, 23 (1998).
- [4] P. Joshi, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 102501 (2007).
- [5] Deepika choudhury, et al., Phys. Rev. C **82**, 061308(R) (2010).
- [6] R. palit, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A **90**, 680 (2012).
- [7] J. C. Wells, ORNL Physics Division, Progress Report No. ORNL-6689, September 30, 1991.
- [8] M. Sugawara, et al., Phys. Rev. C **86**, 034326 (2012).
- [9] F. James and M. Roos, Comput. Phys. Commun. **10**, 343 (1975).