

## <sup>232</sup>Th, a rigid rotor

\*M. Singh<sup>1</sup>, Pradeep Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Y. Singh<sup>2</sup>, A. K. Varshney<sup>3</sup>,  
and D. K. Gupta<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, NIET, Gr. NOIDA-201306 (U.P.), INDIA

<sup>2</sup> Govt. College, Dharamshala – 176215, (H. P), INDIA

<sup>3</sup> R.G.M. Govt. P.G. College, Jogindar Nagar (HP), INDIA

<sup>4</sup> SSLD Varshney Girls Engineering College, Aligarh – 202001 (U.P.), INDIA

\*Email: [singh.moti@gmail.com](mailto:singh.moti@gmail.com)

<sup>232</sup>Th is a well deformed nucleus having asymmetric parameter  $\gamma = 10^\circ$ . The experimental energy gap  $E3_1^+ - (E2_2^+ + E2_1^+)$  is 4 KeV only. One of the other important parameter which hints at shape of the nucleus is the energy difference  $\Delta E = E3_1^+ - (2E2_1^+ + E4_1^+)$  whose value for <sup>232</sup>Th is 570, which is supposed to be zero for  $\gamma$  – soft nucleus. This is a significant indicator to consider this nucleus as rigid rotor. The OES effect represents the relative displacement of the odd angular momentum levels of the  $\gamma$  – band with respect to their neighbouring levels with even angular momentum. This is a long known effect which is clearly established in even – even nuclei [1]. As far as odd even staggering (OES) in  $\gamma$  – band is considered, it is supposed to show very little value for staggering indices S(I) at low spin and low energy. But, while S (I) calculated using following relation –

$$S(I) = \frac{[E(I+1) - E(I)] - [E(I) - E(I-1)]}{E2_1^+}$$

an experimental staggering indices are plotted vs. spin for <sup>232</sup>Th, it exhibits the zigzag variation from S (4) to S (8) without change in sign (all positive). The sign of staggering indices has reversed for S (9) and S (10). The nucleus is one among the five that shows the staggering associated with triaxial shapes according to McCutchen et al [2] who plotted S (I) versus angular momentum (I) exhibiting minimum value of S (I) at odd angular momentum. The general behavior of the odd – even staggering in rotational region has been studied in terms of the ground –  $\gamma$  band mixing interaction using Vector Boson Model (VBM)

[3]. The new relation is used to study the OES in  $\gamma$  – band as –

$$Stg(L) = [10E(L+1) + 5E(L-1) + E(L+3)] - [10E(L) + 5E(L+2) + E(L-2)]$$

They obtained a clearly pronounced staggering pattern i.e. a zigzagging behavior of the quantity Stg (L) as a function of angular momentum (L). A regular change in signs of Stg (L) between odd and even levels with the amplitude increasing with angular momentum up to L = 12 – 13. Their method has been quoted as most effective one for the studying OES in collective  $\gamma$  – band ( $\Delta L = 1$ ).

We undertake the present work to treat <sup>232</sup>Th with a soft rotor formula used recently by C. Bihari et al [4] for  $\gamma$  – band and modified by J. B. Gupta et al [5]. It describes energy in terms of moment of inertia and softness parameter –

$$E(I) = E_K + \frac{I(I+1)}{2\theta_0(1+\sigma I)}$$

The energies have been calculated using two parameters i.e. moment of inertia and  $\theta_\gamma$  and get energy constant  $E_K$ . Interestingly the value of softness parameter ( $\sigma$ ) is found to be zero (Table – I) which is expected also for rigid rotor.

At low spin and low energy, there is an excellent matching in SRF values with experimental values. Being  $\sigma = 0$ , it is inferred that nucleus while rotates and generate  $\gamma$  – band up to spin I = 7, there is no centrifugal stretching or coriolis interaction. Thus Nucleus may be rigid. The staggering indices S (L) in

experiment has been listed in table II. The alternative positive and negative values from S (8) onwards in table II indicate the  $\gamma$  – soft nature of nucleus and thus there is a phase transition at spin I = 8.

We have taken transition rates for the consideration and for the test of phase transition at I = 8 (table III). The increasing trend of experimental cascade in rotational band is reversed at  $10_1^+ \rightarrow 8_1^+$ . Although, more information is needed for looking at trend of transition rates in  $\gamma$  – band also to comment with confidence on phase transition but the values of these B (E2) rates have been found to be close to the rigid rotor estimates.

**Table – I**

$\gamma$  – band energies in KeV calculated from SRF

Parameters: $E_k=0.74, \sigma = 0, \theta_\gamma = 66.67$		
Energy	Exp	SRF
$2_1^+$	785	785
$3_1^+$	829	830
$4_2^+$	890	890
$5_1^+$	960	965
$6_2^+$	1051	1055
$7_1^+$	1142	1160
$8_2^+$	1260	1230
$9_1^+$	1370	1415
$10_2^+$	1513	1565
$11_1^+$	1640	1730

**Table II**

Staggering indices for  $^{232}\text{Th}$

S(4)	+0.33
S(5)	+0.19
S(6)	+0.42
S(7)	+0.006
S(8)	+0.53
S(9)	-0.15
S(10)	+0.65
S(11)	-0.31

**Table III**

B(E2,  $I_f \rightarrow I_i$ ) as  $e^2b^2$  for  $^{232}\text{Th}$

Transition	Exp.	Rigid rotor
$2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$	1.850	1.800
$2_2^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$	0.024	0.049
$2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$	0.055	0.088
$6_1^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$	3.110	2.980
$8_1^+ \rightarrow 6_1^+$	5.450	3.160
$10_1^+ \rightarrow 8_1^+$	4.990	3.280

The suggestions of ref. [6] for taking moment of inertia of  $\gamma$  – vibrational band as 4.36 times that of the moment of inertia of ground rotational band seems to be irrelevant. In the present work  $\theta_\gamma = 66.67, \sigma = 0$  for  $\gamma$  – rotational band and the value of the  $\theta_g$  is 60.8 for yrast band. This is significant here that  $\theta_g$  and  $\theta_\gamma$  are nearly same as the value of  $\theta_\gamma = 66.67$  and both of the bands are taken as rotational. The moment of inertia for rotation to produce yrast and  $\gamma$  – band are nearly same which contradicts Jolos and Brentano view point [6]. At the same time both the bands are found to be rotational in the present study.

**References:**

1. A. Bohr and B. Mottelson; *Nuclear Structure Physics* (Benjamin, New York, 1975), **Vol. II**.
2. E.A. McCutchen et al PRC 76, 024306 (2007).
3. N Minkov et al PRC 61, 064301(2006)
4. C. Bihari et al Phy. Scr. 77, 055201 (2008).
5. J.B. Gupta et al Pramana 81, 75 (2013)
6. R.V. Jolos & P. Von Brentano PRC 76, 024309 (2007)