

## Masses and Decay properties of excited charmonia states

Smruti Patel<sup>1,\*</sup>, Shashank Bhatnagar<sup>2,†</sup> and P. C. Vinodkumar<sup>1‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Sardar Patel University,  
Vallabh Vidyanagar-388120, Gujarat, INDIA and

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Addis Ababa University,  
P.O.Box 101739, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

### Introduction

Charmonium has proved a remarkable laboratory for the study of quantum chromodynamics(QCD). The study of its spectrum provides fundamental informations about the interquark potential. Since the hadron spectrum cannot be obtained directly from QCD, one has to use other methods like potential model calculations, lattice gauge theory, effective field theory, etc. to investigate hadron spectrum and its decays. Phenomenological potential models are still one of the important tools to study the hadron spectrum and its decays. These models are either relativistic or non-relativistic. The non-relativistic quark model is commonly employed for knowing the behavior of heavy hadron as this approximation provides a good description of static properties of heavy mesons such as mass spectra, while for dynamical properties such as decay, the relativistic corrections are considered.

### Formalism

For the description of the quarkonium bound states, we adopt the phenomenological potential of the form given by

$$V(r) = \frac{-4\alpha_s}{3r} + \frac{Ar^2}{(1+4Br)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - V_s \quad (1)$$

Here,  $A=0.374\text{GeV}^3$ ,  $B=1.0\text{GeV}$  and  $V_s$  is a state dependant constant potential. Similar type of potential is used by [1] for the study of light flavor hadrons using Bethe-Salpeter

Approach. The mass spectra of  $nS$  and  $nP$  waves are obtained by numerically solving the Schrödinger equation using the Mathematica notebook of the Runge-Kutta method [2]. Different degenerate  $n^{2S+1}L_J$  low-lying states of  $c\bar{c}$  mesonic states( $nS$  and  $nP$ ) are calculated by considering the spin-dependent part of the usual one gluon exchange potential [5] perturbatively. The quark mass  $m_c = 1.28 \text{ GeV}$ , while the state dependant constant potential ( $V_s$ ) is given by the recursion relation,

$$V_s(n+1, l) = V_s(n) + 0.02l(3l+5) + \frac{1}{2} \quad (2)$$

The value of  $V_s(n=0, l=0)$  is fixed as  $0.12 \text{ GeV}$ .

Apart from the masses of the low lying mesonic states, the correct predictions of the decay rates are important features of any successful model. The radiative decays of the bound  $c\bar{c}$  states provide an excellent laboratory for studying charmonium decay dynamics and the light hadron spectroscopy. An electromagnetic decay occurs when the  $c\bar{c}$  pair annihilates into one or more photons, which can subsequently give origin to a pair of leptons as the final state. A decay to a pair of leptons is only allowed to the states with the same quantum numbers as the photon, that is  $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$ ; using the Van Royen-Weisskopf formula the leptonic decay width with radiative correction for the vector mesons reads:

$$\Gamma(n^3S_1 \rightarrow l^+l^-) = \frac{4N_c\alpha^2e_Q^2|R_0(0)|^2}{M_V^2} \times \left[1 - \frac{16}{3} \left(\frac{\alpha_S}{\pi}\right)\right] \quad (3)$$

We have also computed the di-gamma decay

\*Electronic address: fizix.smriti@gmail.com

†Electronic address: shashank\_bhatnagar@yahoo.com

‡Electronic address: p.c.vinodkumar@gmail.com

TABLE I: Charmonium mass spectra for  $nL(L = 1, 2)$  states (in GeV)

State	Present	[4](in MeV)	[5]	[8]	[9]
$1^1S_0$	2.979	$2983.6 \pm 0.07$	2.982	$3.010 \pm 0.001$	2.980
$1^3S_1$	3.096	$3096.91 \pm 0.011$	3.090	$3.085 \pm 0.001$	3.097
$1^3P_0$	3.488	$3414.75 \pm 0.31$	3.424	$3.408 \pm 0.002$	3.392
$1^3P_1$	3.514	$3510.66 \pm 0.007$	3.505	$3.472 \pm 0.009$	3.490
$1^1P_1$	3.539	$3525.39 \pm 0.11$	3.516	$3.474 \pm 0.010$	3.523
$1^3P_2$	3.565	$3556.20 \pm 0.09$	3.556	$3.503 \pm 0.024$	3.570
$2^1S_0$	3.600	$3639.4 \pm 1.3$	3.630	$3.770 \pm 0.040$	3.631
$2^3S_1$	3.680	$3686.10^{+0.012}_{-0.014}$	3.672	$3.739 \pm 0.046$	3.687
$2^3P_0$	3.947	-	3.852	$4.008 \pm 0.122$	3.844
$2^3P_1$	3.972	-	3.925	$4.067 \pm 0.105$	3.902
$2^1P_1$	3.996	-	3.954	$4.053 \pm 0.095$	3.920
$2^3P_2$	4.021	$3927.2 \pm 2.6$	3.972	$4.030 \pm 0.180$	3.949
$3^1S_0$	4.011	-	4.063	-	3.992
$3^3S_1$	4.077	$4039 \pm 1$	4.072	-	4.030
$4^1S_0$	4.397	-	4.384	-	4.244
$4^3S_1$	4.454	$4421 \pm 4$	4.406	-	4.273

TABLE II: Di-gamma decay widths of  $nS$  and  $nP$  waves (in keV)

State	Present		[4]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]
	$\Gamma$	$\Gamma_{cor}$					
$\eta_c$	12.18	8.32	$5.055 \pm 0.411$	10.373	8.5	7.5-10	10.94
$\eta_c'$	9.21	6.21	$2.147 \pm 1.580$	3.349	2.4	3.5-4.5	-
$\chi_{c0}$	9.96	5.06	$2.341 \pm 0.189$	-	2.5	5.0	6.38
$\chi_{c2}$	1.35	0.68	$0.528 \pm 0.404$	-	0.31	0.70	0.57
$\chi_{c0}'$	5.46	2.97	-	-	-	-	-
$\chi_{c2}'$	1.48	0.74	-	-	-	-	-

rates of the charmonia system using the expression given in [6, 7]. The spectroscopic parameters such as the masses and the resultant radial wave functions obtained from the numerical solution are used to compute the decay rates of charmonium states. In many cases of potential model predictions, the radial wave function at the origin are overestimated as far as the decay rates are concerned. In such cases, it is argued that the decay of  $Q\bar{Q}$  occurs not at zero separation but at some finite radial separation ( $r_c$ ).

TABLE III: Di-leptonic decay widths of charmonium (in keV)

State	Present		Exp	[9]	[13]
	$\Gamma_{r=0}$	$\Gamma_{r=r_c}$			
$J/\psi(1^3S_1)$	4.70	4.68	$5.55 \pm 0.14$	4.94	1.89
$\psi(2S)(2^3S_1)$	3.43	1.74	$2.36 \pm 0.04$	1.67	1.07
$\psi(3S)(3^3S_1)$	2.90	0.38	$0.86 \pm 0.007$	0.95	0.77

## Results and discussions

The computed mass spectra are listed in Table I and we found an agreement with the lattice prediction as well as known experimental results [4, 8]. Di-gamma decay width obtained here are in good agreement with the experimental results and with the predictions from other theoretical models as shown in the Table II. The di-leptonic decay width computed at finite separation are found to be in good agreement with the experimental results listed in Table III.

## Acknowledgments

The work is part of a Major research project No. F. 40-457/2011(SR) funded by UGC.

## References

- [1] A.N. Mitra, B.M. Sodermark, Nuclear Physics A **695**, 328 (2001).
- [2] W. Lucha, F. Shoberl, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C **10** (1999), arXiv:hep-ph/9811453.
- [3] Bali G S, Schiling K and Wachter A, Phys Rev. D **56**, 2566.
- [4] K. A. Olive et. al (Particle Data Group), Chinese Physics C Vol. **38**, No.9, 090001 (2014).
- [5] T. Barnes, S. Godfrey, E.S. Swanson, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 054026 (2005).
- [6] Kwong Waikwok et al., Phys. Rev. D **37**, 3210 (1988).
- [7] Arpit Parmar, Bhavin Patel and P. C. Vinodkumar, Nuclear Physics A **848**, 299 (2010).
- [8] Okamoto M et al. , Phys. Rev. D **65**, 094508 (2002).
- [9] M. Shah, A. Parmar, and P. C. Vinodkumar, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 034015 (2012).
- [10] Bai-Qing Li and Kuang-Ta Chao, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 094004 (2009).
- [11] J.P. Lansberg, T.N. Pham, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 094016 (2009); Phys. Rev. D **74**, 034001 (2006).
- [12] S N Gupta et al., Phy. Rev. D **54**, 2075 (1996).
- [13] Stanley F. Radford, Wayne W. Repko, Phys. Rev. D **75** , 074031 (2007).