

Energy levels observed as $g, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \gamma$ and $\gamma\gamma$ bands in some even nuclei support the rotational structure

K.K. Gupta¹, *M. Singh², Y. Singh³, A. Singh¹ and A. K. Varshney⁴

1. Govt. College, Dhaliara - 177103, (H. P), INDIA.

2. Department of Physics, Noida institute of Engineering & Technology,

Gr. Noida – 201308 (UP) India.

3. Govt. College, Dharamshala (H. P), INDIA.

4 Govt. P.G. College, Palampur (HP), INDIA.

*singh.moti@gmail.com

According to Bohr – Mottelson unified collective model [1] the low – lying levels of even Z even N nuclei in mass region $A \sim 220 - 250$ away from closed shells are expected to develop collective characteristics. The lowest levels are grouped into three K – bands. The lowest one based on the ground state forms the ground state rotational band ($K = 0_1$). Another band based on the axially symmetric vibrations of the nuclear core with $K = 0_2$ is called the β – vibrational band. The third one based on axially asymmetric vibrations of the nuclear core with $K = 2$ projection on the symmetry axis is called γ – vibrational band. In a rigid rotor model the rotation of the rigid asymmetric nucleus not only yields yrast (ground state rotational) band but also 2_+ , 3_+ , 4_+ , 5_+ ,.....levels (anomalous rotational band or γ – band) as the value of the asymmetry parameter γ increases. Toki and Faessler have commented that the γ – band is one rotational band when the asymmetry parameter is small ($0^\circ < \gamma < 15^\circ$), at larger γ – deformation one should take $R = 2_2^+, 4_2^+, 6_2^+ \dots$ as one band and $R = 3_1^+, 5_1^+, 7_1^+ \dots$ as another band. This observation is based on the study of the quadrupole moments and the B (E2) values as a function of the γ – deformations [2]. The physical reason is attributed to a repulsive force between even spin members of ground band and even spin members of γ – band that gives up turn to $E 4_2^+, E 6_2^+, E 8_2^+$ at $\gamma > 15^\circ$. The examples of collective excitations resulting in both oscillations and rotations are well established in the form of γ – soft model by Wilets – Jean [3] and γ – rigid model by Davydov – Filippov [4].

The energy gaps $\Delta E_1 = E 3_1^+ - (E 2_1^+ + E 2_2^+)$ and $\Delta E_2 = E 3_1^+ - (2E 2_1^+ + E 4_1^+)$ plays an important role in distinguishing the rotational and oscillatory structure of nucleus Viz. $\Delta E_2 \gg \Delta E_1 \approx 0$ (In rotational nuclei) and $\Delta E_1 \gg \Delta E_2 \approx 0$ (in Oscillatory nuclei).

The energy ratio $R \left(\frac{4}{2} \right) = \frac{E 4_1^+}{E 2_1^+}$ is a good measure of the deformation of a nucleus with the variation in N and Z. it can assume value of 2.0 for the spherical vibrator to 3.33 for the deformed rotor in the ground state band. For a collective rotations based on the intrinsic axial vibration the value of R (4/2) is expected to be the same for β – band ($K = 0_2$) and ground state band ($K = 0_1$).

Thus in broader perspective, the present viewpoint emphasizes that whether the low – lying energy levels obey I (I+1) rule which is meant for rotational structure. Earlier efforts have been made to test the γ – band obeys this rule by employing the soft rotor formula [5]. Recently, the soft rotor formula was modified by Gupta et al; with the plea that the large value of softness parameter (α) and negative values of moment of inertia (θ) are unjustified [6]. The modified soft Rotor formula is given as-

$$E(I) = E_K + \frac{\hbar^2 I(I+1)}{2\theta_0(1+\alpha I)}$$

In the present work the modified soft rotor formula is employed to all observed low – lying energy levels denoted as $g, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_1$ and γ_2 for ^{168}Er , ^{160}Yb and ^{234}U . The list of parameters used in the present work are

tabulated in table – I . The calculated energies are presented in table – II along with the experimental ones.

The close values of energy levels in our calculations with experiment are sufficient proof of rotational structure of observed $g, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \gamma_1$ and γ_2 levels. The different moment of inertia of nuclei (Table – I) in producing these rotational bands indicates that possibility of many axes rotations of the nucleus is not a dream.

Table – I

List of parameters used in the present work.

¹⁶⁸ Er			
Bands	θ	α	$E_K(\text{MeV})$
g	37.3	0.0046	0
β_1	50.2	0.0070	1.217
β_2	41.8	0.0055	0
β_3	50.8	0.0050	0
γ_1	39.4	-	0.0746
¹⁶⁰ Yb			
g_1	9.0	0.185	0
g_2	1.62	0.756	5.093
²³⁴ U			
γ_1	58.7	0.0461	0.879
γ_2	69.1	0.028	1.085

Acknowledgement:

One of the authors namely, M. Singh acknowledged the Chairman, Dr. O. P. Agrawal and the director, Prof. Ajay Kumar, NIET, Gr. Noida for providing research facilities.

References:

1. A. Bohr and B. R. Mottelson, Nuclear Structure Vol. II (New York, Benjamin) (1975).
2. H. Toki and a. Faessler; Z. Phys. A275, 35 (1976).

Table – II

Calculated and experimental level energies for ¹⁶⁸Er, ¹⁶⁰Yb and ²³⁴U

¹⁶⁸ Er			
Bands	level	Energies (MeV)	
		Cal.	Exp.
g	6 ⁺	0.549	0.549
	8 ⁺	0.932	0.928
	10 ⁺	1.411	1.396
β_1	6 ⁺	1.619	1.617
	8 ⁺	1.897	1.890
	10 ⁺	2.242	-
β_2	6 ⁺	1.909	1.903
β_3	6 ⁺	2.234	2.246
¹⁶⁰ Yb			
g-band	6 ⁺	1.148	1.106
	8 ⁺	1.737	1.612
	10 ⁺	2.374	2.144
	12 ⁺	2.962	2.691
	14 ⁺	3.366	3.249
	16 ⁺	3.850	3.815
	18 ⁺	4.478	4.387
²³⁴ U			
γ_1 – band	5 ⁺	1.087	1.092
	6 ⁺	1.159	1.172
γ_2 – band	5 ⁺	1.275	1.275
	6 ⁺	1.354	1.345

3. J. Wilets and M. Jean; Phys. Rev. 102, 788 (1956).
4. A. S. Davydov and G. F. Filippov; Nucl. Phys **8** 237 (1958).
5. Chhail Bihari et al; Phys. Scr. 77, 055201 (2008).
6. J. B. Gupta et al; Pramana J. Phys. 81, 75 (2013).