

## Understanding nuclear structure with Schwarzschild interaction and Avogadro number

Seshavatharam.U.V.S<sup>1\*</sup> and S. Lakshminarayana<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Honorary faculty, I-SERVE, Alakapuri, Hyderabad-35, Telangana, INDIA

<sup>2</sup> Dept. of Nuclear Physics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam-03, AP, INDIA

\* email: seshavatharam.uvs@gmail.com

### Introduction

By considering the strength of the Schwarzschild interaction as ‘unity’ and by considering the squared Avogadro number as a suitable scaling factor, the authors made an attempt to understand the basics of nuclear physics with three assumptions and developed many useful semi-empirical relations in a constructive approach [1]. The key point to be noted is that both the electromagnetic interaction and the strong interaction both seem to be associated with two different gravitational constants.

### Understanding the strength of any interaction

With reference to Black hole physics, it is reasonable to say that,

- 1) Black holes are the most compact form of matter.
- 2) Gravitational interaction taking place at black holes can be referred to ‘Schwarzschild interaction’.
- 3) Strength of this ‘Schwarzschild interaction’ can be assumed to be unity.
- 4) Magnitude of the operating force at the black hole surface is of the order of  $(c^4/G)$ .
- 5) Strength of any other interaction can be defined as the ratio of operating force magnitude and the classical or astrophysical force magnitude  $(c^4/G)$ .
- 6) If one is willing to represent the magnitude of the operating force as a fraction of  $(c^4/G)$  i.e.  $X$  times of  $(c^4/G)$ , where  $X \ll 1$ , then

$$\frac{X \text{ times of } (c^4/G)}{(c^4/G)} \cong X \rightarrow \text{Effective } G \Rightarrow \frac{G}{X} \quad (1)$$

If  $X$  is very small,  $(1/X)$  becomes very large.

In this way,  $X$  can be considered as the strength of interaction.

### Basic assumptions of final unification

The following three assumptions can be considered in a final unification program.

- 1) Avogadro’s number  $N_A$  can be considered as a scaling factor.
- 2) The gravitational constant associated with the electron can be expressed as:

$$G_E \cong N_A^2 G \quad (2)$$

$$\rightarrow G_E \cong N_A^2 G \cong 2.420350673 \times 10^{37} \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{sec}^{-2}$$

The gravitational constant associated with the proton can be expressed with the following relation.

$$\left( \frac{G_S m_p m_e}{\hbar c} \right) \cong \left( \frac{\hbar c}{G_E m_e^2} \right) \quad (3)$$

$$\rightarrow G_S \cong 3.266260584 \times 10^{28} \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{sec}^{-2}$$

With reference to the Schwarzschild interaction, for electromagnetic interaction,  $X \cong 2.7574 \times 10^{-46}$  and for strong interaction,  $X \cong 2.04327 \times 10^{-39}$ .

### Understanding the strong coupling constant and the proton-electron mass ratio

From relation (3), it is possible to show that,

$$\left[ \frac{\hbar c}{G_S m_p^2} \cong \sqrt{\alpha_s} \right] \rightarrow \alpha_s \cong 0.11970192 \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{m_p}{m_e} \cong \left[ \left( \frac{G_S m_p^2}{\hbar c} \right) \left( \frac{G_E m_e^2}{\hbar c} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (5)$$

Based on these relations (4) and (5) and with further research, basics of final unification can be understood to some extent.

**To fit the RMS radius of proton and nuclear unit charge radius**

It is noticed that,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} R_p &\cong \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}G_S m_p}{c^2} \right) \cong 0.859651 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m} \\ R_c &\cong \left( \frac{2G_S m_p}{c^2} \right) \cong 1.21573 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6)$$

From experiments, the root mean square radius of the proton is  $R_p \cong 0.8418467$  fm and  $R_p \cong 0.8775$  fm. The geometric mean of these two values is  $0.8594885$  fm. Based on these relations, if  $R_p \cong 0.8594885$  fm,

$$G \cong \frac{\sqrt{2}\hbar^2}{N_A^2 m_e^3 R_p} \cong 6.675109 \times 10^{-11} \frac{\text{m}^3}{\text{kg} \cdot \text{sec}^2} \quad (7)$$

$R_c \cong 1.21573 \times 10^{-15}$  m can be considered as the nuclear unit charge radius.

**Proton-neutron beta stability line:**

The naturally occurring stable mass number connected with the proton number can be expressed as follows [2].

$$\begin{aligned} A_s &\cong 2Z + \left\{ \left( \frac{G_S m_p m_e}{\hbar c} \right) (2Z)^2 \right\} \\ &\cong 2Z + (0.006296521) Z^2 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

If  $Z = 92$ , obtained  $A_s \cong 237.3$  and its actual stable mass number is 238.

**To fit and understand the nuclear binding energy**

By considering the geometric mean of gravitational and coulombic self energies, the characteristic binding energy potential  $B_0$  can be expressed as follows. If  $R_p \cong 0.8775$  fm,

$$B_0 \cong -\frac{3}{5} \sqrt{\left( \frac{G_S m_p^2}{R_p} \right) \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R_p} \right)} \cong -19.6 \text{ MeV} \quad (9)$$

For  $Z=30$  onwards, at the stable mass number, nuclear binding energy can be approximately fitted with the following relation.

$$(B)_{A_s} \cong -Z * B_0 \cong -Z * 19.6 \text{ MeV} \quad (10)$$

With the semi-empirical mass formula, this proposal can be shown to be correct for the stable mass numbers of  $Z \geq 30$ . For  $Z=30$  onwards, above and below the stable mass number,

$$(B)_{A_s} \cong -(A/A_s)^p * Z * 19.6 \text{ MeV} \quad (11)$$

where  $p \cong 4/3$  if ( $A < A_s$ );  $p \cong 2/3$  if ( $A > A_s$ );

For  $Z < 30$ , above and below the stable mass number, nuclear binding energy can be approximately fitted with the following relation.

$$(B)_{A_s} \cong -k_z (A/A_s)^p Z * 19.60 \text{ MeV} \quad (12)$$

where  $k_z \cong (Z/30)^{\frac{1}{6}}$  and

$\{ p \cong 4/3 \text{ if } (A < A_s); p \cong 2/3 \text{ if } (A > A_s);$

**Discrete potential energy of electron in the hydrogen atom**

Discrete potential energy of electron in the hydrogen atom can be fitted with the following relation.

$$(E_{pot})_n \cong -\left( \frac{1}{2n^2} \right) \left( \frac{G_S m_p m_e}{\hbar c} \right)^2 \sqrt{m_p m_e} c^2 \quad (13)$$

where,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Here, it may be noted that,  $(1/2n^2)$  can be considered as the probability of finding one electron out of possible  $(2n^2)$  electrons. Comparing this semi-empirical result with Bohr's theory of hydrogen atom, it is noticed that,

$$\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\epsilon_0 G_S m_p m_e}{e^2}} \cong \left( 2 \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{m_p}} \right)^{1/4} \cong 0.464803 \quad (14)$$

$$\rightarrow G_S \cong 3.2712467 \times 10^{28} \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{sec}^{-2}.$$

**References**

- [1] U. V. S. Seshavatharam, Lakshminarayana S. Understanding Nuclear Structure With Final unification Journal of Applied Physical Science International (In press). (<http://www.ikpress.org/articles-press/33>)
- [2] U. V. S. Seshavatharam, Lakshminarayana S. Understanding Nuclear Stability, Binding Energy and Magic Numbers with Fermi Gas Model. Journal of Applied Physical Science International, 4 (2) pp.51-59 (2015)