

## Mass spectra of orbitally excited $c\bar{b}$ states in a non-relativistic quark model

Antony Prakash Monteiro<sup>1,\*</sup>, Manjunath Bhat<sup>1</sup>, K. B. Vijaya Kumar<sup>2</sup>, and Bhavyashri<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*P.G Department of Physics, St Philomena College, Darbe, Puttur-574202,INDIA*

<sup>2</sup>*Department Physics, Mangalore University,*

*Mangalagangothri P.O., Mangalore - 574199, INDIA and*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Physics,ACS College of Engineering,Bangalore*

### Introduction

The  $B_c$  state is the only bound system that consists of two heavy quarks of different flavours that offers a sound laboratory opportunity to observe both QCD and weak interaction. The ground state  $B_c(1S)$  was first observed in the CDF and DO experiments (Tevatron) in two decay modes :  $B_c \rightarrow J/\psi l \nu$  and  $B_c \rightarrow J/\psi \pi$  [1, 2], also observed by LHC experiments in the various decay modes of  $c\bar{b}$  states such as :  $B_c \rightarrow J/\psi \pi$  (LHCb, CMS, ATLAS),  $B_c \rightarrow J/\psi \pi \pi \pi$  (LHCb and CMS),  $B_c^+ \rightarrow \psi(2S)\pi^+$ ,  $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+$  and  $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}$ ,  $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$  (LHCb) [2]. Recently the ATLAS collaboration at the LHC has observed radially excited  $c\bar{b}$  state (i.e,  $B_c(2S)$ ) through the decay channel  $B_c^\pm(2S) \rightarrow B_c^\pm(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$  [3].  $B_c$  mesons are predicted by the quark model to be members of the  $J^P = 0^-$  pseudo scalar ground state multiplet [4]. The vector  $B_c^*(1S)$  meson is the triplet state of  $B_c(1S)$  which has not been observed by means of experiments to date.

### Theory

In this work for the study of the  $B_c$  meson mass spectra, we have considered the following non relativistic Hamiltonian [5, 6],

$$H = K + V_{CONF}(\vec{r}_{ij}) + V_{OGEP}(\vec{r}_{ij}) \quad (1)$$

where  $V_{CONF}(\vec{r}_{ij})$  is the confinement poten-

tial

$$V_{CONF}(\vec{r}_{ij}) = -a_c r_{ij} \vec{\lambda}_i \cdot \vec{\lambda}_j \quad (2)$$

$K$  is the kinetic energy term

$$K = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^2 M_i + \frac{P_i^2}{2M_i} \right] - K_{CM} \quad (3)$$

with  $M_i$  and  $P_i$  as the mass and momentum of the  $i$ th quark, respectively.

The central part of the two body potential due to OGEP [7] is given by

$$V_{OGEP}(\vec{r}_{ij}) = \frac{\alpha_s \vec{\lambda}_i \cdot \vec{\lambda}_j}{4} \left[ \frac{1}{r_{ij}} - \frac{\pi}{M_i M_j} \left( 1 + \frac{2}{3} \vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j \right) \delta(r_{ij}) \right] \quad (4)$$

where the first term represents the residual Coulomb energy and the second term is the chromo-magnetic interaction leading to the hyperfine splitting.  $\sigma_i$  is the Pauli spin operator and  $\alpha_s$  is the quark-gluon coupling constant.

The non-central part of OGEP has two terms, namely the spin-orbit interaction  $V_{OGEP}^{SO}(\vec{r})$  and tensor term  $V_{OGEP}^{ten}(\vec{r})$ . The spin-orbit interaction of OGEP is given by,

$$V_{OGEP}^{SO}(\vec{r}) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{4} \lambda_i \cdot \lambda_j \left[ \frac{3}{8M_i M_j} \frac{1}{r^3} (\vec{r} \times \vec{p}) \cdot (\sigma_i + \sigma_j) \right] \quad (5)$$

We use the following tensor term [8]

$$V_{OGEP}^{ten}(\vec{r}) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{4} \lambda_i \cdot \lambda_j \left[ \frac{1}{4M_i M_j} \frac{1}{r^3} \right] \hat{S}_{ij} \quad (6)$$

\*Electronic address: [aprakashmonteiro@gmail.com](mailto:aprakashmonteiro@gmail.com)

where,

$$\hat{S}_{ij} = [3(\vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \hat{r})(\vec{\sigma}_j \cdot \hat{r}) - \vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j] \quad (7)$$

The tensor potential is a scalar which is obtained by contracting two second rank tensors.

TABLE I: Masses of orbitally excited  $c\bar{b}$  states (in MeV) for various  $n$  values.

State $n \ 2^{S+1}L_J$	This work	Ref.[4]
$1 \ ^3P_0$	6.679	6.700
$1P1$	6.709	6.730
$1P'$	6.746	6.736
$1 \ ^3P_2$	6.757	6.747
$1 \ ^3D_1$	7.000	7.012
$1D2$	7.006	7.012
$1D2'$	7.012	7.009
$1 \ ^3D_3$	7.030	7.005
$2 \ ^3P_0$	7.161	7.108
$2P1$	7.185	7.135
$2P1'$	7.208	7.142
$2 \ ^3P_2$	7.215	7.153
$2 \ ^3D_1$	7.424	
$2D2$	7.432	
$2D2'$	7.439	
$2 \ ^3D_3$	7.449	
$3 \ ^3P_0$	7.614	
$3P1$	7.630	
$3P1'$	7.643	
$3 \ ^3P_2$	7.648	
$3 \ ^3D_1$	7.845	
$3D2$	7.850	
$3D2'$	7.857	
$3 \ ^3D_3$	7.863	

### Results and Conclusions

The four parameters in our model are the mass of charm quark  $M_c$ , the mass of bottom quark  $M_b$ , the harmonic oscillator size parameter  $b$  and the quark-gluon coupling constant  $\alpha_s$ . We use the following set of parameter values.

$$M_c = 1.4 \text{ GeV}; \quad M_b = 4.645 \text{ GeV}; \quad \alpha_s = 0.3; \\ a_c = 260.0 \text{ MeV fm}^{-1}; \quad b = 0.325 \text{ fm}.$$

For the case of a bound system of quark and anti-quark of unequal mass, charge conjugation parity is no longer a good quantum number so that the states with different total spins but with the same total angular momentum,

such as the  $^3P_1 - ^1P_1$  and  $^3D_2 - ^1D_2$  pairs, can mix via the spin orbit interaction or some other mechanism. The  $B_c$  meson states with  $J = L$  are linear combination of spin triplet  $|^3L_J\rangle$  and spin singlet  $|^1L_J\rangle$  states which we describe by the following mixing:

$$|nL'\rangle = |n \ ^1L_J\rangle \cos \theta_{nL} + |n \ ^3L_J\rangle \sin \theta_{nL} \quad (8) \\ |nL\rangle = -|n \ ^1L_J\rangle \sin \theta_{nL} + |n \ ^3L_J\rangle \cos \theta_{nL} \quad (9)$$

The values of the mixing angle for P states are  $\theta_{1P} = 0.2^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{2P} = 0.10^\circ$  and  $\theta_{3P} = 0.05^\circ$

The values of mixing angles for D states are  $\theta_{1D} = 0.20^\circ$  and  $\theta_{2D} = 0.05^\circ$ .

The calculated masses of the  $c\bar{b}$  states after diagonalization are listed in Table I.

Our prediction for masses of orbitally excited  $c\bar{b}$  states are in good agreement with the other model calculations. Some of the states (i.e.,  $2^3P_0$ ,  $2P1, 2P1', 2^3P_2$ ) are 50-100 MeV heavier in our model.

### Acknowledgments

One of the authors (APM) is grateful to BRNS, DAE, India for granting the project and JRF (37(3)/14/21/2014BRNS).

### References

- [1] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration) Phys. Rev. D **58**, 112004 (1998).
- [2] K. Anikeev *et al.*, arXiv:0201071.
- [3] G. Aad *et al.* ((ATLAS Collaboration)), Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 212004 (2014).
- [4] E. J. Eichten and C. Quigg, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 5845 (1994).
- [5] K. B. Vijaya Kumar, B. Hanumaiah, and S. Pepin, Eur. Phys. J. **A19**, 247 (2004).
- [6] K. B. Vijaya Kumar, Bhavyashri, Yong-Ling Ma, and A. P. Monteiro, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **22**, 4209 (2009).
- [7] A. De Rujula, H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 147 (1975).
- [8] A. Valcarce, A. Buchmann, F. Fernandez and A. Faessler, Phys. Rev. C **51**, 1480 (1995).