

The α -decay half-life from resonance pole of S-matrix with exact explicit analytical solutions

Basudeb Sahu*

Department of Physics, College of Engineering and Technology, Bhubaneswar-751003, INDIA

The process of decay of α particle from a radioactive nucleus is viewed as a quantum resonance state of a two-body scattering process of the α +daughter nucleus pair governed by a nucleus-nucleus potential. To match closely with this Coulomb+nuclear potential in the interior region, the following novel form of potential function [1]

$$V_{eff}(r) = H_0 \left\{ 1 - \left[\frac{1 - e^{-(r-R_0)/a}}{1 + ce^{-(r-R_0)/a}} \right]^2 \right\} \quad (1)$$

is used. Here, it has four parameters namely H_0 , R_0 , c and a . With the values of the radial position of the barrier obtained by using global formula $R_0=R_B=r_0(A_1^{1/3} + A_2^{1/3})+2.72$ fm with $r_0=1.07$ fm, the height of the barrier $H_0=V_B=\frac{Z_1Z_2e^2}{R_B}(1 - \frac{a_g}{R_B})$ MeV with $a_g=0.6$ fm along with the values of diffuseness $a \approx 3$ fm and constant $c=0.44$, the effective potential $V_{eff}(r)$ (1) matches with the Coulomb+nuclear potential of a typical α +daughter nucleus system with mass number $A_1=4$ and proton number $Z_1=2$ of the projectile (α) and mass number $A_2=208$ and proton number $Z_2=82$ for the daughter nucleus ^{208}Pb .

The s-wave radial Schrödinger equation with the above potential (1) is solved exactly to give the solution as

$$u(r) = A z^{ir}(1-z)^{-ir-is} F(l', m', n', \frac{1}{1-z}) + B z^{-ir}(1-z)^{ir+is} F(l, m, n, \frac{1}{1-z}),$$

where $z=-c e^{-(r-R_0)/a}$ and $F(l,m,n,\frac{1}{1-z})$ is the hyper-geometric function. The other terms are

$$l = \frac{1}{2} - ir - it - is, \quad m = \frac{1}{2} - ir + it - is, \quad n = 1 - 2is$$

$$l' = \frac{1}{2} + ir - it + is, \quad m' = \frac{1}{2} + ir + it + is, \quad n' = 1 + 2is,$$

$$r=ka, \quad t=[q^2(b+1)^2 - \frac{1}{4}]^{1/2}, \quad s=[q^2(b^2-1) + r^2]^{1/2}, \quad k=\sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}E}, \quad q^2 = \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}H_0a^2, \quad b=\frac{1}{c},$$

reduced mass $\mu=\frac{A_1A_2}{A_1+A_2}m_n$ with m_n as mass of nucleon and E stands for the incident energy.

Using the boundary condition $u(r=0)=0$, we get $z_0 = -c e^{R_0/a}$ and

$$C_p = -\frac{B}{A} = z_0^{2ir}(1-z_0)^{-2ir-2is} \frac{F(l', m', n', \frac{1}{1+ce^{R_0/a}})}{F(l, m, n, \frac{1}{1+ce^{R_0/a}})}.$$

As $ce^{R_0/a} \gg 1$, $1/(1+ce^{R_0/a}) \approx 0$, $F(l,m,n,0)=1$, we get $C_p \approx e^{-2\pi r} c^{-2is} e^{-2iR_0s/a}$.

The logarithmic derivative of the wave function at $r=R_0$ is given by

$$f(R_0) = \frac{du/dr}{u} \Big|_{r=R_0} = \left(\frac{u'_-}{u_-} \right) \frac{1 - C_p \left(\frac{u_+}{u_-} \right) \left(\frac{u'_+ / u_+}{u'_- / u_-} \right)}{1 - C_p \left(\frac{u_+}{u_-} \right)} \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{u'_-}{u_-} = \frac{c}{a} \left[-\frac{ir}{c} + \frac{ir+is}{1+c} + \frac{(l'm'/n')}{(1+c)^2} \frac{F(l'+1, m'+1, n'+1, \frac{1}{1+c})}{F(l', m', n', \frac{1}{1+c})} \right],$$

$$\frac{u'_+}{u_+} = \frac{c}{a} \left[\frac{ir}{c} - \frac{ir+is}{1+c} + \frac{(lm/n)}{(1+c)^2} \frac{F(l+1, m+1, n+1, \frac{1}{1+c})}{F(l, m, n, \frac{1}{1+c})} \right],$$

$$\frac{u_+}{u_-} = \exp(2\pi r) c^{-2ir} (1+c)^{2(ir+is)} \frac{F(l, m, n, \frac{1}{1+c})}{F(l', m', n', \frac{1}{1+c})},$$

In the region $r > R_0 = R_B$ where the potential is pure Coulombic, the Coulomb wave functions (regular F_0 and irregular G_0) and their derivatives (F'_0 and G'_0) with respect to $\rho = kr$ for $\ell = 0$ case are expressed [2] as

*Electronic address: bd_sahu@yahoo.com

$F_0 = \frac{1}{2}\beta \exp(\alpha), \quad F'_0 = (\beta^{-2} + \frac{1}{8\eta}\gamma^{-2}\beta^4)F_0,$
 $G_0 = \frac{\beta}{\exp(\alpha)}, \quad G'_0 = [-\beta^{-2} + \frac{1}{8\eta}\gamma^{-2}\beta^4]G_0,$
 $\gamma = \frac{\rho_0}{2\eta}, \quad \beta = [\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}]^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \alpha = 2\eta([\gamma(1 - \gamma)]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \arcsin \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2}\pi),$
 $\rho_0 = kR_0, \quad \eta = \frac{\mu}{\hbar^2} \frac{Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{k}.$ By requirement of continuity at $r=R_0$, the wave functions and their derivatives are matched at $r=R_0$ to obtain the S-matrix denoted by S(k) as

$$S(k) = \frac{2ikF'_0 - 2iF_0f(R_0) + f(R_0)[G_0 + iF_0] - k[G'_0 + iF'_0]}{f(R_0)[G_0 + iF_0] - k[G'_0 + iF'_0]} \quad (3)$$

where $f(R_0)$ is given by (2).

From the variation of the phase-shift $\delta(k)$ of the S-matrix, $S(k)=e^{2i\delta}$, as a function of k we locate the pole of S(k) that manifests the resonance state at the energy equal to the Q-value of decay. The diffuseness parameter 'a' of the potential (1) is varied to obtain the above situation of resonance with pole $k=k_r - ik_i$ at the required Q-value. From this pole, we derive the decay half-life $T_{1/2} = \frac{0.693\mu}{2\hbar k_r k_i}$. We denote this result of half-life by $T_{1/2}^{(anal)}$ as it is based on exact analytical solutions. We apply the formulation to the process of α -decay of the ^{212}Po nucleus represented by the resonance of the two-body, $\alpha + ^{208}\text{Pb}$, system. The effective potential (1) with parameters $r_0 = 1.07\text{fm}$, $a_g = 0.6\text{fm}$, $c=0.44$ and $a=3.17\text{ fm}$ is found to generate the resonance at the required energy $Q_\alpha=8.954\text{ MeV}$ giving decay half-life $T_{1/2}^{(anal)}=2.98 \times 10^{-7}\text{s}$ which is very close to the experimental result $T_{1/2}^{(expt)}=2.99 \times 10^{-7}\text{s}$. As the potential (1) uses global formula for its parameters for barrier position and height, one can apply this formulation of estimation of decay rate to other α +daughter nucleus pairs

with little variation of the the value of diffuseness parameter 'a' around 3 fm to generate the proper resonances of the systems and obtain the results of decay half-lives from the poles describing these resonances. We calculate the results of $T_{1/2}^{(anal)}$ for several α -emitters including heavy and super-heavy nuclei and compare them with the corresponding experimental values denoted by $T_{1/2}^{(expt)}$ in Table 1. Our calculated $T_{1/2}^{(anal)}$ results account for the experimental $T_{1/2}^{(expt)}$ data in the respective nuclei quite well. It may be pointed out here that the $T_{1/2}^{(expt)}$ data ranging from very small value (10^{-7}s) to large value of the order of 10^{17}s in different α -emitters are accounted for by our calculation with close proximity.

TABLE I: Comparison between the experimental α -decay half-lives and calculated half-lives of different α -emitters.

Parent	$Q_\alpha^{(expt)}$ (MeV)	$T_{1/2}^{(expt)}$ (s)	$T_{1/2}^{(anal)}$ (s)
^{212}Po	8.954	2.99×10^{-7}	2.98×10^{-7}
^{214}Rn	9.208	2.70×10^{-7}	1.26×10^{-7}
^{224}Ra	5.789	3.33×10^5	4.47×10^5
^{232}Th	4.082	5.69×10^{17}	12.7×10^{17}
^{236}U	4.573	1.00×10^{15}	1.46×10^{15}
^{244}Pu	4.666	3.17×10^{15}	2.89×10^{15}
^{248}Cm	5.162	1.43×10^{13}	0.89×10^{13}
^{252}Cf	6.214	1.02×10^8	1.49×10^8
^{250}Fm	7.557	2.28×10^3	1.23×10^3

References

- [1] T Tietz, *J. Chem. Phys.* **38**, 3036 (1963).
- [2] M Abramowitz, I A Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Function* (Dover, New York, 1965) p. 542.