

## To correlate nuclear and Newtonian gravitational constants

Seshavatharam.U.V.S<sup>1\*</sup> and S. Lakshminarayana<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Honorary faculty, I-SERVE, Survey no-42, Hitech city, Hyderabad-84, Telangana, India

<sup>2</sup> Dept. of Nuclear Physics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam-03, AP, India

\* email: seshavatharam.uvs@gmail.com

### Introduction

Most desirable cases of any unified description [1] are: To simplify the complicated issues of known physics and to predict new effects, arising from a combination of the fields inherent in the unified description. In this context, for a better understanding, we would like suggest that: “By replacing  $(\hbar c/G_N m_p^2)$  with a large gravitational constant,  $G_s \cong (3.30 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{28} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-2}$  assumed to be associated with nuclear structure, a basic model of ‘nuclear quantum gravity’ can be developed”. For calculation purpose, we consider  $G_s \cong 3.32956 \times 10^{28} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-2}$ . Qualitatively, this idea is in agreement with “Strong gravity” concept [2] proposed by Abdus Salam and C.Sivaram. Recently, O. F. Akinto and Farida Tahir elaborated their work on ‘modified strong gravity concepts’ pertaining to QCD and general relativity in arXiv preprint [3]. In this context, we have shown various practical applications of  $G_s$  pertaining to micro physics as well as macro physics [4]. Interesting points to be noted are: 1)  $\sqrt{G_s/G_N}$  plays a crucial role in understanding neutron star mass and radius. 2)  $(G_s/G_N)^{1/10}$  plays a heuristic role in understanding proton-electron mass ratio.

### To fit the nuclear charge radius

Nuclear charge radius can be fitted with,

$$R_0 \cong \frac{2G_s m_p}{c^2} \cong 1.239 \text{ fm} \quad (1)$$

### To fit Fermi’s weak coupling constant

Fermi’s weak coupling constant [5] can be fitted

with the following empirical relation.

$$G_F \cong \left( \frac{m_e}{m_p} \right)^2 \hbar c R_0^2 \cong \frac{4G_s^2 m_e^2 \hbar}{c^3} \quad (2)$$

$$\cong 1.44021 \times 10^{-62} \text{ J.m}^3$$

### Two new characteristic energy units

Based on the proposed  $G_s$ , one can construct two practical energy units in the following way.

$$E_h \cong \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c} \right) \left( \frac{G_s m_p^2}{\hbar c} \right) (m_p c^2) \quad (3)$$

$$\cong \left( \frac{e^2 G_s m_p^3}{4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar^2} \right) \cong 20.174 \text{ MeV}$$

Using this energy unit, nuclear binding energy can be understood. Replacing  $(\hbar)$  with  $(\hbar/2)$ , it is possible to construct another energy unit.

$$E_{(\hbar/2)} \cong \left( \frac{e^2 G_s m_p^3}{4\pi\epsilon_0 (\hbar/2)^2} \right) \cong 80.696 \text{ MeV} \quad (4)$$

Using this energy unit, neutron-proton mass difference, neutron life time, line of beta stability can be understood.

### To fit neutron-proton mass difference

Neutron-proton mass difference [5] can be understood with:

$$\left( \frac{m_n c^2 - m_p c^2}{m_e c^2} \right) \cong \ln \sqrt{\frac{E_{(\hbar/2)}}{m_e c^2}}$$

$$\cong \ln \sqrt{\frac{4e^2 G_s m_p^3}{4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar^2 m_e c^2}} \cong \ln \sqrt{\frac{80.696 \text{ MeV}}{0.511 \text{ MeV}}} \quad (5)$$

**To fit neutron life time**

Neutron life time  $t_n$  can be understood with the following relation:

$$t_n \cong \exp\left(\frac{E_{(h/2)}}{(m_n - m_p)c^2}\right) \times \left(\frac{\hbar}{m_n c^2}\right) \quad (6)$$

$$\cong \exp\left(\frac{80.696 \text{ MeV}}{1.2933 \text{ MeV}}\right) \times \left(\frac{\hbar}{m_n c^2}\right) \cong 877.9 \text{ sec}$$

This value can be compared with material bottle experimental result:  $(878.5 \pm 0.8) \text{ sec}$  [6].

**Understanding beta stability line**

Stable mass number corresponding to  $Z$  can be estimated with the following relation.

$$(A_s - 2Z) \cong \left(\frac{m_e c^2}{E_{(h/2)}}\right) Z^2 \cong \left(\frac{0.511 \text{ MeV}}{80.696 \text{ MeV}}\right) Z^2 \quad (7)$$

$$\cong \left(\frac{4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar^2 m_e c^2}{4e^2 G_s m_p^3}\right) Z^2 \approx 0.00633(Z)^2$$

**To understand nuclear binding energy close to beta stability line**

For  $Z \geq 3$ , close to stable atomic nuclides, nuclear binding energy can be approximately fitted with the following relation.

$$(BE)_{A_s} \approx -\left(Z - \frac{3}{2}\right) E_h \cong \left(Z - \frac{3}{2}\right) \left(\frac{e^2 G_s m_p^3}{4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar^2}\right)$$

$$\approx -\left(Z - \frac{3}{2}\right) \times 20.174 \text{ MeV.} \quad (8)$$

Factor  $(3/2)$  needs further study at fundamental level.

**To understand neutron star mass and radius**

A) If  $(M_{NS}, m_n)$  represent the masses of neutron star [7] and neutron, then,

$$\frac{G_N M_{NS} m_n}{\hbar c} \approx \sqrt{\frac{G_s}{G_N}} \rightarrow M_{NS} \approx 3.175 M_\odot \quad (9)$$

B) If  $R_{NS}$  represents the neutron star radius, then,

$$\frac{R_{NS}}{\left(\sqrt{G_s \hbar / c^3}\right)} \approx \sqrt{\frac{G_s}{G_N}} \rightarrow R_{NS} \approx 8.06 \text{ km} \quad (10)$$

**To fit proton-electron mass ratio**

Proton-electron mass ratio can be fitted with,

$$\frac{m_p}{m_e} \cong \left\{ \left(\frac{G_s}{G_N}\right) \left(\frac{G_s m_e^2}{\hbar c}\right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{10}} \cong \left(\frac{G_s^2 m_e^2}{G_N \hbar c}\right)^{\frac{1}{10}} \cong 1836.3 \quad (11)$$

**To fit the Newtonian gravitational constant**

From above relations,  $G_N$  can be fitted with:

$$G_N \cong \left(\frac{G_s m_e^2}{\hbar c}\right) \left(\frac{G_F}{\hbar c R_0^2}\right)^5 G_s \cong \left(\frac{G_s m_e^2}{\hbar c}\right) \left(\frac{m_e}{m_p}\right)^{10} G_s$$

$$\cong 6.679854 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-2} \quad (12)$$

It needs further study at fundamental level.

**References**

- [1] Tilman Sauer. Einstein's Unified Field Theory Program. The Cambridge Companion to Einstein, M. Janssen, C. Lehner (eds), Cambridge University Press. 2013. (Chapter 9)
- [2] Salam A, Sivaram C. Strong Gravity Approach to QCD and Confinement. Mod. Phys. Lett, A8(4), 321- 326. (1993)
- [3] O. F. Akinto, Farida Tahir. Strong Gravity Approach to QCD and General Relativity. arXiv:1606.06963v3
- [4] Seshavatharam U. V. S, Lakshminarayana, S., On the basics of nuclear quantum gravity. Prespacetime Journal, Volume 8, Issue 6, pp.777-791 (2017)
- [5] C. Patrignani et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 40, 100001 (2016) and 2017 update
- [6] C. L. Morris et al. A new method for measuring the neutron lifetime using an in situ neutron detector. Report number: LA-UR-16-27352. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.04560>
- [7] Sebastien Guillot et al. Measurement of the Radius of Neutron Stars with High S/N Quiescent Low-mass X-ray Binaries in Globular Clusters. Astrophys. J. 772 (2013).