

## Effect of entrance channel mass asymmetry and neck formation on nuclear reaction dynamics

Mandeep Kaur<sup>1,\*</sup>, BirBikram Singh<sup>1,†</sup>, Sarbjeet Kaur<sup>1</sup>, and Manoj K. Sharma<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Sri Guru Granth Sahib World University, Fatehgarh Sahib-140406, India. and

<sup>2</sup>SPMS, Thapar Institute of Engineering and Technology, Patiala-147004, India.

### Introduction

The dynamical cluster-decay model (DCM) is having only one free parameter i.e. neck length parameter  $\Delta R$ , which could be fixed uniquely for a particular set of reactions induced by the same projectile (loosely bound or stable) at chosen incident energy,  $E_{lab}$  (in MeV) [1]. For a given projectile at a fixed  $E_{lab}$  on different targets, we were able to calculate the total fusion cross section ( $\sigma_{fus}$ ). The development for this dynamical model provides an opportunity to study those reactions which are not explored on experimental front. Recently, the nuclear reaction dynamics of the compound nuclei (CN)  $^{60}Zn^*$ ,  $^{60}Ni^*$  and  $^{60}Fe^*$  formed in  $^4He$  induced reactions on different targets  $^{56}Ni$ ,  $^{56}Fe$  and  $^{56}Cr$ , respectively, has been explored. These reactions have not been studied experimentally so far [2]. It is interesting to note that the entrance channel mass asymmetry ( $\eta_{in} = 0.8$ ) is same for these  $^4He$  induced reactions. It may further help to reduce the degree of freedom for fixing the value of  $\Delta R$  empirically i.e.  $\Delta R^{emp}$ . For another set of reactions, study was made within DCM to fix the value of  $\Delta R$ , with particular choice of  $\eta_{in}$  at chosen value of incident energy per nucleon ( $E_{lab}/A$ ). We studied the decay of CN  $^{75}Br^*$  and  $^{79}Rb^*$  formed in the reactions  $^{16}O+^{59}Co$  and  $^{20}Ne+^{59}Co$ , respectively having  $\eta_{in} \sim 0.5$  at the same  $E_{lab}/A \sim 3.1$  MeV value [3]. We calculated the fusion cross section  $\sigma_{fus}$  for both the reactions at uniquely fixed  $\Delta R$  and the results are compared nicely with the experimental data. It indicates that the size of the neck formed between two col-

liding nuclei leading to the formation of the compound nucleus depends on the  $\eta_{in}$ . Furthermore, yet another study established the role of  $\eta_{in}$  for the choice of  $\Delta R$ -value. The reactions  $^{27}Al+^{73}Ge$ ,  $^{27}Al+^{74}Ge$ ,  $^{27}Al+^{76}Ge$  and  $^{28}Si+^{94}Zr$  having  $\eta_{in} = 0.46, 0.46, 0.48$  and  $0.54$ , respectively, were chosen to study its effect on  $\Delta R$  through mass parameter  $B_{\eta\eta}$  [4]. We have studied few more reactions with wide range of  $\eta_{in}$  values, but having energy per nucleon ( $E_{lab}/A$ ) fixed, to further elaborate the relevance of neck formation in the compound nucleus reaction dynamics.

### Methodology

The DCM [1–5], worked out in terms of collective co-ordinates of mass (and charge) asymmetries, for  $\ell$ -partial waves, gives the compound nucleus decay cross-section as

$$\sigma = \frac{\pi}{k^2} \sum_{l=0}^{l_{max}} (2l+1) P_0 P; \quad k = \sqrt{\frac{2\mu E_{c.m.}}{\hbar^2}} \quad (1)$$

$P$  is penetrability of interaction barrier (of the preformed clusters with preformation probability  $P_0$ ). The  $P_0$  is obtained by solving the stationary Schrödinger equation in  $\eta/B_{\eta\eta}$ , at a fixed  $R_a = R_1(\alpha_1, T) + R_2(\alpha_2, T) + \Delta R(T)$ . The  $B_{\eta\eta}$ , representing the smooth hydrodynamical masses, is defined as

$$B_{\eta\eta} = \frac{AmR^2}{4} \left[ \frac{v_t(1+\gamma)}{v_c} \right], \quad (2)$$

with,  $v_c = \pi R_c^2 R$ ,  $v_t = v_1 + v_2$  is the total conserved volume and

$$\gamma = \frac{R_c}{2R} \left[ \left( 2 - \frac{R_c}{R_1} - \frac{R_c}{R_2} \right) \right], \quad (3)$$

$$R_c = 0.4 \times R_2 \quad (4)$$

is the radius for the homogeneous mass flow among the decaying fragments.

\*Electronic address: randhawamandeep97@gmail.com  
 †Electronic address: birbikramsingh@sggswu.edu.in

TABLE I: The DCM calculated  $\sigma_{fus}$  for different reactions at  $E_{lab}/A \sim 2.71$  MeV and  $\Delta R=1.18$  fm, and their comparison with the experimental data [6, 7].

Reaction	$\eta_{in}$	$E_{lab}$ (MeV)	$E_{c.m.}$ (MeV)	$E_{CN}^*$ (MeV)	T (MeV)	$\ell_{max}$ ( $\hbar$ )	$\sigma_{fus}$ (mb)	
							DCM	Expt.
${}^7\text{Be}+{}^{27}\text{Al}\rightarrow{}^{34}\text{Cl}^*$	0.58	19.0	15.08	38.19	3.315	31	949.6	858 $\pm$ 94
${}^7\text{Li}+{}^{27}\text{Al}\rightarrow{}^{34}\text{S}^*$	0.58	19.0	15.08	42.722	3.498	30	914.0	924 $\pm$ 46
${}^9\text{Be}+{}^{27}\text{Al}\rightarrow{}^{36}\text{Cl}^*$	0.5	24.39	18.29	41.96	3.365	37	1234.0	1163 $\pm$ 106
${}^9\text{Be}+{}^{89}\text{Y}\rightarrow{}^{98}\text{Tc}^*$	0.81	24.39	22.15	32.22	1.767	65	190.4	132 $\pm$ 7
${}^4\text{He}+{}^{64}\text{Zn}\rightarrow{}^{68}\text{Ge}^*$	0.88	10.84	10.20	13.599	1.409	39	175.5	155
${}^{16}\text{O}+{}^{24}\text{Mg}\rightarrow{}^{40}\text{Ca}^*$	0.2	43.36	26.02	42.196	3.195	36	852.88	910 $\pm$ 55
${}^{16}\text{O}+{}^{26}\text{Mg}\rightarrow{}^{42}\text{Ca}^*$	0.2	43.36	26.84	44.436	3.195	36	819.68	860 $\pm$ 54

### Calculations and Discussions

Within DCM, an explicit relation between the  $\Delta R$  and  $R_c$  has been shown [8], where  $R_c$  gives  $B_{\eta\eta}$ , which significantly affect the magnitude of  $\sigma_{fus}$  through  $P_0$ . Another study points out the variation of  $B_{\eta\eta}$  with  $\Delta R$  at different values of  $\eta_{in}$ , refer Fig. 1 of Ref.[4]. As  $\eta_{in}$  increases the magnitude of  $B_{\eta\eta}$  starts rising, but the converse is true for the variation of  $B_{\eta\eta}$  with  $\Delta R$ . The work presented in Ref.[4] also suggests that for the reactions having same  $\eta_{in}$  and  $E_{lab}/A$  value, an unique choice of  $\Delta R^{emp}$  could address the respective  $\sigma_{fus}$ . In order to further explore these findings we have studied few more reactions. The calculated  $\sigma_{fus}$  for the reactions under study and their comparison with the experimental data [6, 7] is shown in the Table I. The DCM calculated results for different reactions having range of  $\eta_{in}$  values, having same value of  $E_{lab}/A$ , are in good comparison with the data. However, there is one interesting point to note that the value of  $\Delta R$  is same for all the reactions presented in Table I. This

observation encourages us to analyze variety of reactions to establish a systematics for the neck length parameter. We hope to finish the further work by the time of presentation.

### References

- [1] M. Kaur et al., PRC **92**, 024623 (2015); IJPAP **13**, 154 (2017); Proc. of DAE symp. on Nucl. Phys. **60**, 590, 594 (2015).
- [2] B.B. Singh *et al.*; Proc. DAE symp. on Nucl. Phys. **60**, 598 (2015); NPA (2018) *accepted*.
- [3] M. Kaur *et al.*, Proc. DAE symp. on Nucl. Phys. **61**, 592 (2016).
- [4] M. Kaur *et al.*, Proc. DAE symp. on Nucl. Phys. **62**, 517 (2017).
- [5] R.K. Gupta *et al.*, PRC **71**, 014601 (2005); IJMPE **15**, 699 (2006); PRC **77**, 054613 (2008).
- [6] K. Kalita *et al.*, PRC **73**, 024604 (2006).
- [7] G.V. Marti *et al.*, PRC **71**, 027602 (2006)
- [8] C. Karthikraj *et al.*, PRC **87**, 024608 (2013).