

## N – N Spin Entanglement in Photodisintegration of Deuteron with 100% Linearly Polarized Photons

S. P. Shilpashree<sup>1,3,\*</sup> M. S. Uma<sup>1,4,†</sup> M. Kusuma<sup>1,4,5,‡</sup> and G. Ramachandran<sup>1,2,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>G.V.K. Academy, Bangalore, Karnataka

<sup>2</sup>Amrutha Vishwa Vidya Peetham University, Bangalore, Karnataka

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Engineering, Christ (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka

<sup>4</sup>CIIRC, Jyothy Institute of Technology, Bangalore, Karnataka and

<sup>5</sup>K.S. School of Engineering and Management, Bangalore, Karnataka

### Introduction

The study of polarization effects in reactions  $d + \gamma \rightleftharpoons n + p$  play an important role both in Nuclear physics as well as Astrophysics. As the Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) entered the precision era [1] the need for precise knowledge of  $d + \gamma \rightleftharpoons n + p$  was highlighted [2] and this led to a series of experiments [3] on the photodisintegration of deuterons using 100% linearly polarized photons at the Duke free electron laser laboratory. Several photonuclear reactions on polarized deuterons [4] are being studied at higher energies with linearly polarized photon beams at VEPP-3 electron storage ring. A major international program to carry out laboratory studies on astrophysical  $r$ -process [5] is also underway. The induced neutron spin polarization in  $\gamma + d \rightarrow \vec{n} + p$  was discussed using effective field theory by [6–8] in view of the mismatch between theory [9] and experiment [10]. We may quote Young et. al. “Because the measured induced neutron polarization  $P'_y$  in  $\gamma + d \rightarrow \vec{n} + p$  and theoretical calculation using the phenomenological potential model show a discrepancy [6, 9, 10], it is desirable to have a model independent calculation”.

We present here a model independent approach for the spin polarization observables in photodisintegration of deuterons and study the N-N entanglement in final state.

### Theoretical formalism

Following [11, 12], the reaction matrix for  $d + \gamma \rightarrow n + p$  with linearly polarized photons is

$$\mathbf{M} = \sum_{s=0}^1 \sum_{\lambda=|s-1|}^{s+1} (S^\lambda(s, 1) \cdot \mathcal{F}^\lambda(s)), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_\nu^\lambda(s) = \sum_{\mu=\pm 1} \mathcal{F}_\nu^\lambda(s, \mu), \quad (2)$$

The spin density matrix in the final state is then given by

$$\rho^f = \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M}^\dagger \quad (3)$$

whose elements are given by

$$\rho^f = \sum_{s, s', \Lambda} (S^\Lambda(s, s') \cdot P^\Lambda(s, s')) \quad (4)$$

The irreducible spin operator  $S_q^\Lambda(s, s')$  are expressible in terms of  $\sigma_n$  and  $\sigma_p$  as

$$S_0^0(0, 0) = \frac{1}{4} (1 - \sigma_n \cdot \sigma_p) \quad (5)$$

$$S_0^0(1, 1) = \frac{1}{4} (3 + \sigma_n \cdot \sigma_p) \quad (6)$$

$$S_\nu^1(0, 1) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (\sigma_n \otimes \sigma_p)_\nu^1 - \frac{1}{4} (\sigma_n - \sigma_p)_\nu^1 \quad (7)$$

$$S_\nu^1(1, 0) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}} (\sigma_n \otimes \sigma_p)_\nu^1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} (\sigma_n - \sigma_p)_\nu^1 \quad (8)$$

\*Electronic address: shilpashreesp@gmail.com

†Electronic address: umamsjit@gmail.com

‡Electronic address: kusumamk1@gmail.com

§Electronic address: gwrvm@yahoo.com

$$S_\nu^1(1, 1) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}(\sigma_n + \sigma_p)_\nu^1 \quad (9)$$

so that  $P_0^0(0, 0)$  measures the entanglement of the nucleons in the singlet state and  $P_0^0(1, 1)$  in the triplet state.

Explicitly, we have

$$P_q^\Lambda(s, s') = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{s, s', \lambda, \lambda', \Lambda} C\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} s; m_n m_p m_s\right) C\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} s'; m'_n m'_p m'_s\right) (-1)^{s'-1} [s'] [\lambda] [\lambda'] W(s' \lambda' s \lambda; 1 \Lambda) (\mathcal{F}^\lambda(s) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\lambda'}(s'))^\Lambda. \quad (10)$$

It is interesting to note that on solving eq.(4),(5) and eq.(8) we get

$$(\sigma_n)_\nu^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} S_\nu^1(10) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} S_\nu^1(01) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} S_\nu^1(11) \quad (11)$$

so that

$$(P_n)_\nu^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} P_\nu^1(10) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} P_\nu^1(01) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} P_\nu^1(11) \quad (12)$$

gives the model independent result for neutron polarization in the final state. More details will be presented.

### Acknowledgements

One of us (SPS) is thankful to the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB) for extending their financial support under Early Career Research Award (ECR) while the other (KM) is thankful for the principal K.S. School of Engineering and Management, Bangalore for encouraging research.

### References

[1] D. N. Schramm and M. S. Turner, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70** (1998) 303.

[2] S. Burles, K. M. Nollett, J. W. Truran and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** (1999) 4176.

[3] E. C. Schreiber et al. Phys. Rev. C **61** (2000) 061604 .  
W. Tornow et al., Mod. Phys. Lett. A **18** (2003) 282.  
W. Tornow et al., Phys. Lett. B **574** (2003) 8.  
Bradly David Sawatzky, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Virginia, (2005).  
M. A. Blackston, Ph. D. Thesis, Duke University, (2007).  
M. W. Ahmed et al., Phys. Rev. C **77** (2008) 044005.  
M. A. Blackston et al., Phys. Rev. C **78** (2008) 034003.

[4] I. A. Rachek et al., Journal of Physics: Conference Series 295 (2011) 012106 (IOP Publishing).  
S.A. Zevakov et al., Bulletin of Russian Academy of Sciences, Physics, **79** (2015) 864.

[5] K. O. Nakamura et. al., Int. Journal of Modern Physics E **22**, No. 10 (2013) 1330022.

[6] S. I. Ando et. al., Phys. Rev. C **83** (2011) 064002.

[7] Ando. et. al, EPJ web of conferences **20** (2012) 02003.

[8] Young-Ho Song, et. al, Phys. Rev. C **96** (2017) 014001.

[9] R. Schiavilla, Phys. Rev. C **72** (2005) 034001.

[10] V. I. Kukulin, et. al, Phys. Rev. C **77** (2008) 041001.

[11] G. Ramachandran and S. P. Shilpashree, Phys. Rev. C **74** (2006) 052801(R).

[12] G. Ramachandran, Yee Yee Oo and S. P. Shilpashree, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **32** (2006) B17.