

Model independent approach to $d + \vec{\gamma} \rightarrow n + p$ for γ beam monitoring

S. P. Shilpashree^{1,2*} and G. Ramachandran^{2,3†}

¹Faculty of Engineering, Christ (Deemed to be University), Bangalore, Karnataka

²G.V.K. Academy, Bangalore, Karnataka and

³Amrutha Vishwa Vidya Peetham University, Bangalore, Karnataka

Introduction

The study of $d + \gamma \rightleftharpoons n + p$ has a long history going back to 1930. Working in the frame work of effective field theory Young Ho et al, [1] state “There still remains a couple of problems which show discrepancy between experiment and theory even in few-nucleon systems at low energies”. The experimental and theoretical studies on the spin observables in the $\gamma d \rightarrow \pi p$ reaction has also been emphasized in [2]. Several experiments have been carried out on photodisintegration of deuterons using the 100% linearly polarized photons [3–8] which were motivated by the study of Burles et al. [9].

Neutron angular distribution in (γ, n) reactions with linearly polarized photons [10] were reported recently, in view of their importance of photonuclear reactions [11] for basic science as well as for various applications [12], The linear as well as circular polarized beams are generated by Laser Compton Scattering (LCS) at New SUBARU [13] at the National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology [14] in Japan. The ELI-NP [15] has a proposal to deliver brilliant γ beams with a high spectral density and a high degree of polarization starting from 2018.

In view of this, we present a complete analysis of $d(\vec{\gamma}, n)p$ for the beam monitoring using the model independent approach developed by us earlier.

In a detailed discussion [16] of the photodisintegration of deuterons with 100% linearly polarized photons, attention was also focussed

on the possibility of the three isovector electric dipole amplitudes, $E1_j^i$, being different from each other in the channels with total angular momentum, $j = 0, 1, 2$. Subsequently, it was found that it is indeed so by Blackston et al [17], who reported the first experimental observation of the splitting of the $E1$ p-wave amplitudes at slightly higher energies of 14 and 16 MeV. We may also mention that attention was focussed on photon polarization [18] in $\vec{n} - \vec{p}$ fusion and on analyzing powers [19] in $\vec{d}(\gamma, n)p$ with unpolarized photons. A model independent theoretical analysis of photodisintegration of aligned deuterons at astrophysical energies using linearly polarized photons was presented in [20], where an analysis of the experimental data of Blackston et al [17] was also presented.

Theoretical formalism

We define the state of polarization of the γ beam by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\epsilon}(\alpha, \beta) = & [\hat{\epsilon}_x \cos \alpha \cos \beta + \hat{\epsilon}_y \sin \alpha \cos \beta \\ & - i\hat{\epsilon}_x \sin \alpha \sin \beta + i\hat{\epsilon}_y \cos \alpha \sin \beta]; \\ 0 \leq \alpha < \pi; \quad & \beta = -\pi/4 < \beta < \pi/4 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{\epsilon}_x$ and $\hat{\epsilon}_y$ denote two orthogonal linear states of polarization in a plane perpendicular to the beam characterized by the wave vector \mathbf{k} along the Z-axis. Using natural units and the Coulomb Gauge, the vector potential may then be written in the form

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{A}\hat{\epsilon}(\alpha, \beta)\mathbf{e}^{-i(\omega\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r})} + \text{c.c.}, \quad (2)$$

where $\omega = |k|$.

All possible linear states of polarization are represented by setting $\beta = 0$ where as positive and negative values of β represent respectively

*Electronic address: shilpashreesp@gmail.com

†Electronic address: gwrvm@yahoo.com

the right elliptic and left elliptic states, with $\beta = \pm\pi/4$ corresponding to right and left circular polarizations states $\mu = \pm 1$ respectively.

Choosing them as basis states, the 2 x 2 density matrix of polarized beam takes the form,

$$\rho^\gamma = \frac{I_0}{2}[1 + \sigma \cdot \mathbf{s}] \quad (3)$$

where $I_0 = Tr\rho$ represents the total intensity and s_x, s_y and s_z are the stokes parameters given by

$$I_0 \mathbf{s} = Tr(\rho^\gamma \sigma^\gamma) \quad (4)$$

The differential cross section for $d + \vec{\gamma} \rightarrow n + p$ is then given in the c. m frame by

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{6} \mathbf{M}(\mu) \rho_{\mu\mu'}^\gamma \mathbf{M}(\mu')^\dagger, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{M}(\mu) = \sum_{s=0}^1 \sum_{\lambda=|s-1|}^{s+1} (S^\lambda(s, 1) \cdot \mathcal{F}^\lambda(s, \mu)), \quad (6)$$

using the same notations as in [16]. The result may be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega} [1 + \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{a}] \quad (7)$$

where \mathbf{a} denotes the analyzing powers with polarized photon beam. A detailed analysis of all the features associated with the above result will be presented in the conference.

Acknowledgements

One of us (SPS) is thankful to the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB) for extending their financial support under Early Career Research Award (ECR).

References

- [1] Young-Ho Song, Shung-Ichi Ando and Chang Ho Hyun, Phys. Rev. C **96** (2017) 014001 and the references there-in.
- [2] S.I. Ando et. al., Phys. Rev. C **83** (2011) 064002.
- [3] E. C. Schreiber et al., Phys. Rev. C **61** (2000) 061604.
- [4] W. Tornow et al., Mod. Phys. Lett. A **18** (2003) 282.
- [5] W. Tornow et al., Phys. Lett. B **574** (2003) 8 .
- [6] Bradly David Sawatzky, Ph. D Thesis, University of virginia, (2005).
- [7] M. A. Blackston, Ph. D Thesis, Duke University (2007).
- [8] M. W. Ahmed et al., Phys. Rev. C **77** (2008) 044005.
- [9] S. Burles, K. M. Nollett, J. W. Truran and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** (1999) 4176.
- [10] K. Horikawa et al Phys. Rev. Lett. B **737** (2014) 109.
- [11] K. Heyde, et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. **82** (2010) 2365.
- [12] J.L. Jones, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B, Beam Interact. Mater. Atoms **241** (2005) 770.
T. Hayakawa, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A, Accel. Spectrom. Detect. Assoc. Equip. **621** (2010) 659.
- [13] S. Miyamoto, et al., Radiat. Meas. **41** (2007) S179.
- [14] H. Ohgaki, et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **38** (1991) 386.
- [15] C. Matei et al., JINST (IOP Publishing) **11** (2016) P05025.
- [16] G. Ramachandran and S. P. Shilpashree, Phys. Rev. C **74** (2006) 052801(R).
- [17] M. A. Blackston et al., Phys. Rev. C **78** (2008) 034003.
- [18] G. Ramachandran, P. N. Deepak and S. Prasanna Kumar, J.Phys. G. Nucl. Part. Phys. **29** (2003) L1
- [19] G. Ramachandran, Yee Yee Oo and S. P. Shilpashree, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **32** (2006) B17.
- [20] S. P. Shilpashree, Swarnamala Sirsi and G. Ramachandran, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **22** (2013) 1350030.
- [21] G. Ramachandran and M. S. Vidya Phys Rev. C **56** (1997) R12; G. Ramachandran and M. S. Vidya 1996 *Invited talk in Proc. DAE Symp. on Nucl. Phys.* ed. V. M. Datar and S. Kumar vol 39 A p 47.