

Simulation studies of $R_2(\Delta\eta, \Delta\varphi)$ and $P_2(\Delta\eta, \Delta\varphi)$ correlation functions in p–p collisions with the PYTHIA and HERWIG models

Baidyanath Sahoo^{1,*}, Basanta Kumar Nandi¹, Prabhat Pujahari², Sumit Basu³, and Claude Pruneau³

¹Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai - 400076, INDIA

²Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai - 600025, INDIA and

³Department of Physics and Astronomy, Wayne State University, Detroit, 48201, USA

Introduction

Two- and multi-particle azimuthal correlation functions have confirmed the existence of anisotropic flow and quark scaling (approximate) of flow coefficients in A–A collisions at RHIC and LHC as well as reveals the presence of flow in smaller systems (e.g., p–A and high multiplicity p–p collisions). Measurements of two particle differential- number correlations, R_2 , and transverse momentum correlations, P_2 , have provided the collective nature of the azimuthal correlations observed in Pb–Pb collisions [1]. Centrality studies in A–A collisions show that near-side peak of both charge-independent (CI) and charge-dependent (CD) correlations is narrower for P_2 than in R_2 [2]. This correlator P_2 provides a more discriminating probe of the correlation structure of jets and their underlying events than the R_2 . To understand, we performed this study in three p_T ranges $0.2 < p_T \leq 2.0$ GeV/c, $2.0 < p_T \leq 5.0$ GeV/c, and $5.0 < p_T \leq 30.0$ GeV/c, using PYTHIA and HERWIG models for the charged hadrons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV for both CI and CD.

Correlation functions definition

The R_2 and P_2 correlation functions are constructed by using single, $\rho_1(\eta, \varphi)$, - and two, $\rho_2(\eta_1, \varphi_1, \eta_2, \varphi_2)$, - particle densities as a function of the particle pseudo-rapidity η and azimuthal angle φ .

The R_2 is defined as a two-particle cumulant normalized by the product of single particle densities as follows

$$R_2(\eta_1, \varphi_1, \eta_2, \varphi_2) = \frac{\rho_2(\eta_1, \varphi_1, \eta_2, \varphi_2)}{\rho_1(\eta_1, \varphi_1)\rho_1(\eta_2, \varphi_2)} - 1. \quad (1)$$

while the P_2 is defined as the ratio of differential correlator $\langle \Delta p_T \Delta p_T \rangle$ to the square of the average transverse momentum, p_T , to make it dimensionless like R_2 , as follows

$$P_2(\eta_1, \varphi_1, \eta_2, \varphi_2) = \frac{\langle \Delta p_T \Delta p_T \rangle(\eta_1, \varphi_1, \eta_2, \varphi_2)}{\langle p_T \rangle^2} = \frac{1}{\langle p_T \rangle^2} \times \frac{\int_{p_{T,\min}}^{p_{T,\max}} dp_{T,1} dp_{T,2} \rho_2(\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2) \Delta p_{T,1} \Delta p_{T,2}}{\int_{p_{T,\min}}^{p_{T,\max}} dp_{T,1} dp_{T,2} \rho_2(\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2)}$$

where $\Delta p_{T,i} = p_{T,i} - \langle p_T \rangle$ and $\langle p_T \rangle$ is the inclusive mean transverse momentum [3]. The $\langle \Delta p_T \Delta p_T \rangle$ correlator is positive leading to positive value of P_2 whenever both particles are coming from higher (or lower) than the $\langle p_T \rangle$, but it is negative when a low- p_T particle ($p_T < \langle p_T \rangle$) is accompanied by a high- p_T particle ($p_T > \langle p_T \rangle$).

In this work, the correlators R_2 and P_2 are convoluted into the differences $\Delta\eta = \eta_1 - \eta_2$ and $\Delta\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$ and shifted by $-\pi/2$ for convenience of representation in the figures according to

$$O(\Delta\eta, \Delta\varphi) = \frac{1}{\Omega(\Delta\eta)} \int O(\eta_1, \varphi_1, \eta_2, \varphi_2) \delta(\Delta\varphi - \varphi_1 + \varphi_2) \times d\varphi_1 d\varphi_2 \delta(\Delta\eta - \eta_1 + \eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2.$$

*Electronic address: baidyanathsahoo93@gmail.com

where $\Omega(\Delta\eta)$ represents the width of the acceptance in $\bar{\eta} = (\eta_1 + \eta_2)/2$ at a given value of $\Delta\eta$ [5]. The analysis of the R_2 and P_2 correlation functions are carried out for charge combination pairs $(+-)$, $(-+)$, $(++)$, and $(--)$ to yield charge-independent, $O^{\text{CI}} = \frac{1}{2}[O^{+-} + O^{++} + O^{-+} + O^{--}]$, and charge-dependent, $O^{\text{CD}} = \frac{1}{2}[O^{+-} - O^{++} + O^{-+} - O^{--}]$, correlation functions [4].

Results

Figure 1 represents the decreasing trend of near-side width of P_2^{CD} using PYTHIA with rising p_T owing to the angular ordering and Schwinger mechanism.




FIG. 1: Correlation functions P_2^{CD} of charged hadrons in three p_T ranges (a) $0.2 < p_T \leq 2.0$ GeV/c, (b) $2.0 < p_T \leq 5.0$ GeV/c, and (c) $5.0 < p_T \leq 30.0$ GeV/c, obtained with PYTHIA for pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV.




FIG. 2: The $\Delta\phi$ projections of P_2^{CD} are calculated as averages of the 2-D correlations in the range $|\Delta\eta| \leq 1.0$.

Also, there is a finite away-side CD correlation in the p_T range $5.0 < p_T \leq 30.0$ GeV/c due to quark-quark jets as quarks possess charge. This correlator P_2^{CD} will help to probe the internal structure of jets and the charge production ordering. Comparison of PYTHIA and HERWIG, in Fig 2, reveals different behavior of the two models as they use different hadronization model.




FIG. 3: Width of the near-side peak of CD correlation functions along $\Delta\eta$ (left panel), in the range $|\Delta\phi| \leq \pi/2$, and along $\Delta\phi$ (right panel), in the range $|\Delta\eta| \leq 1.0$, as function of p_T .

To understand the trend, we performed this study in more refined p_T ranges. PYTHIA shows monotonic decrease of the width whereas HERWIG gives a more complicated behavior of the width as a function of p_T in both $\Delta\eta$ and $\Delta\phi$ case as shown in Fig 3. We observed that P_2 width is broader than R_2 in some p_T ranges due to the angular ordering which is reverse in nature as stated [2]. Rigorous study will give distinction between quark-jets and gluon-jets in future.

References

- [1] S. Acharya et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 162302 (2017).
- [2] J. Adam et al. (ALICE Collaboration), arXiv:1805.04422 [nucl-ex].
- [3] C. Pruneau, S. Gavin, and S. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. **C66**, 044904 (2002).
- [4] S. Bass, P. Danielewicz and S. Pratt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2689 (2000).
- [5] M. Sharma and C. A. Pruneau, Phys. Rev. **C79**, 024905 (2009).