

## Masses and decay properties of $\Sigma_c$ baryons

A. N. Gadaria<sup>1,\*</sup> J. J. Patel<sup>1,†</sup> N. R. Soni<sup>2,‡</sup> and J. N. Pandya<sup>1,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Applied Physics Department, Faculty of Technology and Engineering,  
The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda,  
Vadodara 390 001, Gujarat, INDIA and

<sup>2</sup> Physics Department, Faculty of Science,  
The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, Vadodara 390 002, Gujarat, INDIA.

### Introduction

Charmed baryons with at least one  $c$  quark have the masses ranging from 2300 to 2700 MeV. Recent experimental results from BABAR, CLEO, DELPHI, BELLE and CDF collaborations have encouraged theoreticians to pursue study of masses and magnetic moments of these systems[1]. The study of their decay modes and magnetic moments are crucial to understand the dynamics of these systems as  $c$  quark is considered as a connecting flavour between heavy and light flavour sectors. We study the masses and decay properties of  $\Sigma_c$  baryons using the extended relativistic confinement scheme based on harmonic approximation with Lorentz scalar and vector potential. The residual two body Coulombic interaction and spin hyperfine interactions of confined one gluon exchange potential are included perturbatively to compute masses of the baryons [2–5]. The transition magnetic moments of baryons using the spin-flavoured wave function of the constituent quarks and their effective masses within the baryons are also computed. We compare the computed results with the theoretical predictions as well as available experimental observations.

### Formalism

We compute the mass spectra in the formalism of extended relativistic harmonic confinement model (ERHM), in which the confinement of quarks are assumed to be of the form Lorentz scalar along with the vector harmonic oscillator mean field potential of the form [2–5],

$$V_{conf} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_0)A^2r^2 \quad (1)$$

Here  $A$  is the model parameter and  $\gamma_0$  is the Dirac matrix.

The masses are computed by solving Dirac equation employing the nonrelativistic reduction technique. The octet and decuplet masses of the baryons are computed by employing the confined one gluon exchange potential perturbatively.

We also compute the transition magnetic moment considering the mass of bound quark inside the baryon as effective mass that arises out of quantum fluctuations in the baryon dynamics [6]. The transition magnetic moments are computed using

$$\mu_{B^* \rightarrow B} = \langle B | \hat{\mu}_{B^* z} | B^* \rangle \quad (2)$$

where  $B^*$  and  $B$  are the parent and daughter baryon respectively [7–10]. The parameters used for this computations are as follows: confinement mean field parameter  $A = 2166 \text{ MeV}^{3/2}$  and quark masses  $m_u = 340 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $m_d = 343 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $m_c = 1479 \text{ MeV}$ .

\*Electronic address: angadaria-apphy@msubaroda.ac.in

†Electronic address: jjpatel-apphy@msubaroda.ac.in

‡Electronic address: nakulphy@gmail.com

§Electronic address: jnpandya-apphy@msubaroda.ac.in

TABLE I: Masses of  $\Sigma_c$  Baryons in MeV

state	mass	[11]	[12]	[13]	[14]	PDG[1]
$\Sigma_c^{++}$	2453.21	2454	2454	-	-	$2453.97 \pm 0.14$
$\Sigma_c^{*++}$	2513.51	2530	2492	-	-	2518.41
$\Sigma_c^+$	2455.67	2452	2459	-	-	$2452.9 \pm 0.40$
$\Sigma_c^{*+}$	2515.5	2501	2497	-	-	$2517.5 \pm 2.3$
$\Sigma_c^0$	2457.28	2453	-	2443	2460	$2453.75 \pm 0.14$
$\Sigma_c^{*0}$	2518.43	2529	-	2519	2523	$2518.48 \pm 0.20$

TABLE II: Transition magnetic moment of  $\Sigma_c$  baryons in  $\mu_N$

transition	$ \mu_{B' \rightarrow B} $	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18, 19]
$\Sigma_c^{*++} \rightarrow \Sigma_c^{++}$	1.020	1.37	1.19	1.398	0.998
$\Sigma_c^{*+} \rightarrow \Sigma_c^+$	0.01	0.003	0.04	0.105	0.009
$\Sigma_c^{*0} \rightarrow \Sigma_c^0$	1.04	1.48	1.11	1.187	1.022

**Results and Discussion**

The computed masses are tabulated in Table I. The transition magnetic moments (Tab. II) are also computed without any additional parameters, so they are absolute predictions considering the effective masses of quarks inside the baryons. We also compare with other theoretical and experimental results and it is observed to be matching well. Till the date the detailed description of decay of these baryons still not known experimentally, the present calculations can be interesting as they are matching well with the other theoretical models. New experimental data from now operational experimental facilities like LHCb, BESIII and B factories as well as upcoming facilities like PANDA and J-Park are expected probe the dynamics of the charmed baryonic systems. The other weak decay properties of these baryon also the spectroscopy of doubly heavy baryons are underway.

**Acknowledgements**

This work is supported by the University Grants Commission of India under Major Re-

search Project F.No.42-775/2013(SR).

**References**

[1] M. Tanabashi *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D **98**, 030001 (2018).  
 [2] P. C. Vinodkumar *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. **A** 4, 83 (1999).  
 [3] J. N. Pandya *et al.*, Pramana **57**, 821 (2001).  
 [4] J. N. Pandya *et al.*, Frascati Phys. Ser. **46**, 1519 (2007).  
 [5] J. N. Pandya *et al.*, Chin.Phys. C **39**, 123101 (2015).  
 [6] B Patel, A K Rai, P C Vinodkumar, J. Phys. G **35**, 065001 (2008).  
 [7] A. N. Gadaria *et al.*, DAE Symp. Nucl. Phys. **63**, 912 (2018)  
 [8] N. R. Soni and J. N. Pandya, DAE Symp. Nucl. Phys. **62**, 770 (2017).  
 [9] A. N. Gadaria *et al.*, DAE Symp. Nucl. Phys. **61**, 698 (2016).  
 [10] N. R. Soni and J. N. Pandya, DAE Symp. Nucl. Phys. **60**, 694 (2015).  
 [11] Z Shah *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A **52** 313 (2016).  
 [12] Z Ghalenovi, A A Rajabi, S Qin, D H Rischke, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **29**, 1450106 (2014).  
 [13] D Ebert, R N Faustov and V O Galkin, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 014025 (2011).  
 [14] T Yoshida, E Hiyama, A Hosaka, M Oka and K. Sadato, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 114029 (2015).  
 [15] N Sharma, H Dahhiya, P K Chatley and M Gupta, Phys.Rev.D **81**, 073001 (2010).  
 [16] R Dhir and R C Verma, Eur. Phys. J. A **42**, 243 (2009)  
 [17] A Majethiya, B Patel, and P C Vinodkumar, Eur. Phys. J. A **38**, 307 (2008).  
 [18] Z Shah *et al.*, Chin. Phys. C **40**, 123102 (2016).  
 [19] K. Gandhi *et al.* Eur. Phys. J. Plus **133**, 512 (2018).