

Spin-parity quantum numbers of $\Xi_c(2882)$ via relativistic flux tube model

Pooja Jakhad,* Juhi Oudichhya, and Ajay Kumar Rai
*Department of Physics, Sardar Vallabhbhai National
 Institute of Technology, Surat, Gujarat-395007, INDIA*

Introduction

The Ξ_c and Ξ'_c baryons offer an ideal structure to investigate the dynamics of an up/down quark and a strange quark in the presence of a charm quark. Recently, a tremendous amount of work has gone into measuring the characteristics of these charmed baryons.

The Ξ_c baryon has established states in $1S$ - and $1P$ -waves. But only the $1S$ -wave states for the Ξ'_c baryon have been identified. Additionally, the experiment has observed a number of excited states, denoted as $\Xi_c(2923)$, $\Xi_c(2930)$, $\Xi_c(2939)$, $\Xi_c(2965)$, $\Xi_c(2970)$, $\Xi_c(3055)$, $\Xi_c(3080)$, and $\Xi_c(3123)$ [1]. These observations have driven various theoretical approaches, including the quark model [2–6], Regge phenomenology [7], lattice QCD [8], QCD sum rules [9], and relativistic flux tube model [10, 11]. In 2023, the LHCb discovered the initial evidence of a $\Xi_c(2882)$ state. This recent discovery has prompted us to determine its spin and parity in order to establish their mass spectrum [12].

The relativistic flux tube (RFT) model with a quark-diquark representation of a baryon is used to figure out the mass of the first orbitally excited states of the Ξ'_c baryons. We have incorporated the j-j coupling scheme to account for spin-dependent interactions. The objective is to determine the spin-parity quantum numbers of the $\Xi_c(2882)$ state based on the computed masses in this study.

Theoretical Framework

The Ξ'_c baryon consists of one charm quark (c), one strange quark (s), and one light quark

(u or d). Under the RFT model, a diquark is linked to a charm quark by means of a thin string-like structure of string tension \mathcal{T} . The complete system, comprising a charm quark, diquark, and flux tube, undergoes constant relativistic rotation around its centre of mass. In this theoretical framework, the relation between mass (M) and angular momentum quantum number (L) can be derived as [10, 11, 13–16]

$$(\bar{M} - m_c)^2 = \frac{\sigma}{2}L + (m_{\mathcal{D}} + m_c v_c^2)^2. \quad (1)$$

Here, masses of charm quark and diquark are denoted as m_c and $m_{\mathcal{D}}$, respectively. We denote a charm quark's velocity as v_c . Let $\sigma = 2\pi T$.

Within this model, the distance between the charm quark and the diquark is defined as [11]

$$r = (v_1 + v_2) \sqrt{\frac{8L}{\sigma}}, \quad (2)$$

where v_1 represents the speed of a diquark.

Given the assumption of spinless quarks in the RFT model, it is necessary to consider the effect of spin-dependent interactions on mass from the QCD-motivated quark potential model, as

$$\Delta M = H_{so} + H_t + H_{ss}. \quad (3)$$

The spin-orbit interaction H_{so} is formed by the short-range one-gluon exchange contribution and the long-range Thomas-precession term, as [17]

$$H_{so} = \left[\left(\frac{2\alpha}{3r^3} - \frac{b}{2r} \right) \frac{1}{m_{\mathcal{D}}^2} + \frac{4\alpha}{3r^3} \frac{1}{m_c m_{\mathcal{D}}} \right] \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{D}} \\ + \left[\left(\frac{2\alpha}{3r^3} - \frac{b}{2r} \right) \frac{1}{m_c^2} + \frac{4\alpha}{3r^3} \frac{1}{m_c m_{\mathcal{D}}} \right] \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}_c. \quad (4)$$

*Electronic address: poojajakhad6@gmail.com

Moreover, the tensor interaction is

$$H_t = \frac{4\alpha}{3r^3} \frac{1}{m_c m_{\mathcal{D}}} \left[\frac{3(\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{D}} \cdot \mathbf{r})(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{r})}{r^2} - \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{D}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{c}} \right], \quad (5)$$

and the spin-spin interaction is expressed as

$$H_{ss} = \frac{32\alpha\sigma_0^3}{9\sqrt{\pi}m_c m_{\mathcal{D}}} e^{-\sigma_0^2 r^2} \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{D}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{c}}. \quad (6)$$

Here, α , b , and σ_0 are the model parameters. $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{c}}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$ correspond to the spins of the charm quark and diquark, respectively. An orbital angular momentum of the system is denoted as \mathbf{L} .

For single charmed baryons, the $j-j$ coupling scheme is preferable because it favours heavy quark symmetry. In this method, the total angular momentum of the diquark, or \mathbf{j} , is obtained by first coupling $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{d}}$ with \mathbf{L} . Afterwards, coupling \mathbf{j} with $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{c}}$ yields the total angular momentum, or \mathbf{J} . The experimentally observable states of singly charmed baryons are used to derive the model's parameters [11]. We first use Eq. (1) to determine the spin average mass of the $1P$ -wave of the Ξ'_c baryon using these known parameters. Next, we incorporate spin-dependent splitting to determine the masses of five possible $1P$ -wave states.

Results and Discussion

The calculated masses of the five $1P$ -wave states of the Ξ'_c baryon in the quark-diquark picture are shown in Tables I. The masses of experimentally observed states, as listed in PDG[1], are then compared to these calculated masses. For comparison, we also display the mass predictions from other theoretical model [2]. We note that our calculated mass of the $|1P, \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle_{j=0}$ state in our model is extremely close to the experimentally measured mass of $\Xi_c(2882)$ by LHCb. This strongly suggests that $\Xi_c(2882)$ could be a $1P$ -wave candidate with spin-parity $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$.

Acknowledgments

Ms. Pooja Jakhad acknowledges the financial support by CSIR [File No.

TABLE I: Masses of $1P$ -wave states of Ξ'_c baryons (in MeV)

States $ nL, J^P\rangle_j$	Present	PDG [1]	[2]
$ 1P, 1/2^-\rangle_{j=0}$	2873.3	2882.00 ± 9.0	2854
$ 1P, 1/2^-\rangle_{j=1}$	2886.4	2923.20 ± 0.4	2936
$ 1P, 3/2^-\rangle_{j=1}$	2937.9	2938.55 ± 0.3	2912
$ 1P, 3/2^-\rangle_{j=2}$	2992.9		2935
$ 1P, 5/2^-\rangle_{j=2}$	3030.5		2929

09/1007(13321)/2022-EMR-I.].

References

- [1] S. Navas *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Phys. Rev. D **110** 030001 (2024).
- [2] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 014025 (2011).
- [3] Z. Shah, K. Thakkar, and A. K. Rai, Eur. Phys. J. A **52**, 313 (2016).
- [4] B. Chen *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 154 (2017).
- [5] K. Gandhi and A. K. Rai, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **135**, 213 (2020).
- [6] Z.-Y. Li *et al.*, Chin. Phys. C **47**, 073105 (2023).
- [7] J. Oudichhya and A K Rai *Eur.Phys.J.A*, **59**, 123 (2023).
- [8] P. Perez-Rubio, S. Collins, and G. S. Bali, Phys. Rev. D **92**,034504(2015).
- [9] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. A **47**, 81(2011).
- [10] B. Chen, K. W. Wei, and A. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. A **51**, 82 (2015).
- [11] P. Jakhad *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **108**, 014011 (2023).
- [12] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Phys. Rev. D **108**, 012020 (2023).
- [13] P. Jakhad, J. Oudichhya and A. K. Rai, [arXiv:2407.01655 [hep-ph]].
- [14] P. Jakhad, J. Oudichhya and A. K. Rai, DAE Symp. Nucl. Phys. **67**, 935-936 (2024).
- [15] P. Jakhad, J. Oudichhya and A. K. Rai, PoS **HQL2023** 091 (2023).
- [16] P. Jakhad, J. Oudichhya and A. K. Rai, [arXiv:2409.07789 [hep-ph]].
- [17] B. Chen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **105**, 074014 (2023).