

Systematic study of alpha induced reactions at low excitation energies: Analysis of excitation functions

Manoj Kumar Sharma^{1,*}, Mahesh Kumar¹, Mohd. Shuaib²,
Vijay R. Sharma³, Abhishek Yadav⁴, Pushpendra P. Singh⁵,
Devendra P. Singh⁶, Unnati⁷, B. P. Singh², and R. Prasad²

¹Department of Physics, Sri Varshney (Post Graduate) College, Aligarh (UP) - 202 001, India

²Department of Physics, A. M.U., Aligarh-202002, India

³ Departamento de Aceleradores, Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Nucleares,
Apartado Postal 18-1027, C.P. 11801 Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico

⁴ Inter University Accelerator Centre, New Delhi, 110067, India

⁵Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Ropar, Punjab-140001, India

⁶ Department of Physics, University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, Dehradun, India and

⁷Department of Physics, D.U., Delhi, India

Recent observations of pre-compound (PCN) emission even at relatively low incident energies where compound nucleus (CN) process dominates, has renewed interest in the study of reaction dynamics. The pre-compound reaction may be understood as a process in which emission of particles takes place at any stage during the redistribution of energy of the incoming particle amongst the nuclear degrees of freedom through a chain of particle-hole excitations well before the establishment of statistical equilibrium[1, 2]. The particles which are emitted well before to the establishment of statistical equilibrium are known as pre-compound or pre-equilibrium particles[3].

Although, there are several methods available to study the PCN process but the measurement and analysis of excitation functions (EFs) is well suited and is widely used to determine the contribution of PCN process. It is because of the fact that features of the EFs at the low, medium and high energies may give information about the reaction mechanism involved. The low energy portion of the EFs is dominated by the CN mechanism, however, with the increase in projectile energy, the strength of the PCN process becomes relatively more.

Though, a large amount of data on the PCN emission is available in literature, but no systematics on this process with mass of target is available. With the motivation to develop a systematics for PCN process, a detailed analysis of EFs for reactions $^{139}\text{La}(\alpha, n)^{142}\text{Pr}$, $^{159}\text{Tb}(\alpha, n)^{162}\text{Ho}$, $^{181}\text{Ta}(\alpha, n)^{184}\text{Re}$, $^{197}\text{Au}(\alpha, n)^{201}\text{Tl}$, and $^{203}\text{Tl}(\alpha, n)^{206}\text{Bi}$, respectively has been performed. It may be pointed out that EFs for reaction $^{179}\text{Au}(\alpha, n)^{182}\text{Tl}$ has been measured by our group by using stacked foil activation technique, while the cross-section data of other reactions has been taken from EXFOR data library[4]. The analysis of the measured EFs for above reactions has been performed with PACE and ALICE codes.

The contribution of PCN in each reaction has been deduced in terms of pre-compound fraction (F_{PCN}) which is the ratio of the difference of the cross-sections for (PCN+CN) emission and the CN cross-sections to the cross-section values of (PCN+CN). In order to get a systematics on the pre-compound emission, the effect of various parameters have been studied. It has been found that the excitation energy per nucleon available at the surface E_S of composite system ($E_S = E^*/A^{2/3}$) may be an important and sensitive parameter which influences the PCN process. The deduced values of " F_{PCN} " for different mass number of target nuclei as a function of E_S for the reactions $^{139}\text{La}(\alpha, n)^{142}\text{Pr}$, $^{159}\text{Tb}(\alpha,$

*Electronic address: manojamu76@gmail.com




FIG. 1: The contribution pre-compound emission ‘ F_{PCN} ’ as a function of excitation energy E_S for the reactions $^{139}\text{La}(\alpha, n)^{142}\text{Pr}$, $^{159}\text{Tb}(\alpha, n)^{162}\text{Ho}$, $^{181}\text{Ta}(\alpha, n)^{184}\text{Re}$, $^{197}\text{Au}(\alpha, n)^{200}\text{Tl}$, and $^{203}\text{Tl}(\alpha, n)^{206}\text{Bi}$, respectively.

$n)^{162}\text{Ho}$, $^{181}\text{Ta}(\alpha, n)^{184}\text{Re}$, $^{197}\text{Au}(\alpha, n)^{201}\text{Tl}$, and $^{203}\text{Tl}(\alpha, n)^{206}\text{Bi}$ have been plotted and are shown in Fig. 1. As can be seen from this figure that a systematic trend of the ‘‘ F_{PCN} ’’ with mass number and E_S has been achieved. This refers that in the PCN emission process all the nucleons of the composite system are not involved but nucleons on the surface may influence it more.

The conclusions drawn from above study may provide a new systematics for complex PCN process in α induced reactions and has been clearly shown in Fig. 2. The Fig. 2 shows variation of pre-compound fraction (‘‘ F_{PCN} ’’) with mass number of target nuclei (A) at five different values of the excitation energy per nucleon E_S ($=0.300, 0.325, 0.350, 0.375$ and 0.400 MeV, respectively) available at the surface of composite systems. As can be seen from this figure that ‘‘ F_{PCN} ’’ increases linearly with mass number (A) of the target nuclei at each value of E_S for presently studied systems. Further details of the analysis




FIG. 2: The contribution pre-compound emission ‘‘ F_{PCN} ’’ as a function of mass number of the target nuclei with excitation energy E_S for the reactions $^{139}\text{La}(\alpha, n)^{142}\text{Pr}$, $^{159}\text{Tb}(\alpha, n)^{162}\text{Ho}$, $^{181}\text{Ta}(\alpha, n)^{184}\text{Re}$, $^{197}\text{Au}(\alpha, n)^{200}\text{Tl}$, and $^{203}\text{Tl}(\alpha, n)^{206}\text{Bi}$, respectively.

and systematics developed will be presented.

The authors are thankful to the Director, VECC, Kolkata for extending all the facilities for carrying out the experiments. One of the authors (MKS) thanks to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, India vide the project number 03(1361)16/EMR-11 for financial support.

References

- [1] S. Sudar and S. M. Qaim, Phys. Rev. C **73**, 034613 (2006).
- [2] Manoj Kumar Sharma, H. D. Bhardwaj, Unnati, P. P. Singh, B. P. Singh, R. Prasad, Ero Phys. J **31**, 43 (2007).
- [3] B. P. Singh, Manoj Kumar Sharma, M. M. Muthafa, H. D. Bhardwaj and R. Prasad, Nucl. Inst. and Meth. in Phys. Res. A **562**, 717 (2006).
- [4] EXFOR: Experimental Nuclear Reactions <http://www-nds.iaea.org/exfor>